

# Many-valued Horn Logic is Hard

Marco Cerami

Palacký University in Olomouc  
Department of Computer Science  
Olomouc, Czech Republic

Olomouc, 18.12.2014



european  
social fund in the  
czech republic



EUROPEAN UNION



MINISTRY OF EDUCATION,  
YOUTH AND SPORTS



INVESTMENTS IN EDUCATION DEVELOPMENT

# Introduction

# Introduction

- The **general question** that we address in this seminar is whether a given finite-valued logic-based formalism can be more complex than the same formalism on crisp semantics.
- E.g. every **finite-valued FDL** has been proved to belong to the **same complexity class** as the corresponding crisp DL.
- A question that naturally arises is whether the finite-valued fuzzy framework is **not more complex** than the crisp-valued formalism in general.
- This presentation is based on part of the paper **Many-valued Horn Logic is Hard**, published in the proceedings of the International Workshop PRUV 2014.
- The paper is a joint work with R. Peñaloza and S. Borgwardt and it has been written during the **stay at the TU Dresden** financed in the framework of the POST UP II project.

# General idea

- Classical logic-based formalisms are already **highly nondeterministic** when all the connectives are present in the language.
- In this work we are considering **propositional Horn clauses**.
- Reasoning in such formalisms is **polynomial** under classical two-valued semantics.
- We reduce the problem of deciding **classical satisfiability** of propositional formulas to the **satisfiability problem for Horn clauses with finite-valued constraints**.
- In this way, we show that for these clauses a **finite-valued Łukasiewicz** conjunction operator can **induce additional nondeterminism**.

# Preliminaries

# Propositional formulas

Given:

- a countable set  $Var = \{p_1, p_2, \dots\}$  of **propositional variables**,
- the set  $\{\wedge, \vee, \rightarrow, \neg, \top, \perp\}$  of **logical connectives**,

the set of **propositional formulas** is inductively defined by:

- every variable  $p \in Var$  is a formula, as well as  $\top$  and  $\perp$ ,
- if  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are formulas, then  $\varphi \wedge \psi$ ,  $\varphi \vee \psi$ ,  $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ ,  $\neg\varphi$ , are formulas too.

## Classical semantics

A **classical propositional valuation** is a function  $v: Var \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$  which is **inductively extended** to the set of formulas by:

$$v(\perp) = 0$$

$$v(\top) = 1$$

$$v(\varphi \wedge \psi) = \min\{v(\varphi), v(\psi)\}$$

$$v(\varphi \vee \psi) = \max\{v(\varphi), v(\psi)\}$$

$$v(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) = \max\{1 - v(\varphi), v(\psi)\}$$

$$v(\neg\varphi) = 1 - v(\varphi)$$

A propositional formula  $\varphi$  is said to be **satisfiable** if there exists a propositional valuation  $v$  such that:

$$v(\varphi) = 1$$

## Finite Łukasiewicz $t$ -norm

- For any natural number  $n \geq 2$ , we consider the set of  $n$  truth values

$$\mathfrak{L}_n := \left\{0, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{n-2}{n-1}, 1\right\}$$

together with the following operators:

- The **finite Łukasiewicz t-norm**  $*$ :  $\mathfrak{L}_n \times \mathfrak{L}_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_n$  defined by

$$x * y := \max\{0, x + y - 1\}.$$

- The **residuum** of the finite Łukasiewicz t-norm

$\Rightarrow$ :  $\mathfrak{L}_n \times \mathfrak{L}_n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{L}_n$  computed as

$$x \Rightarrow y := \min\{1, 1 - x + y\}.$$

- As usual in fuzzy logic, these two operators satisfy the property:

$$x * y \leq z \text{ iff } y \leq x \Rightarrow z \text{ for all } x, y, z \in \mathfrak{L}_n.$$

- The algebra  $\langle \mathfrak{L}_n, *, \Rightarrow, 0, 1 \rangle$  is called the **finite Łukasiewicz chain** of length  $n$ .



# Fuzzy Horn clauses

- A **fuzzy Horn clause** is an expression of the form

- $\langle p_1 \& \dots \& p_k \rightarrow q_1 \& \dots \& q_m \geq r \rangle$ ,
- $\langle p_1 \& \dots \& p_k \rightarrow \perp \geq r \rangle$ ,

where

- $k \geq 0, m \geq 1$ ,
- $p_1, \dots, p_k, q_1, \dots, q_m \in \text{Var}$ ,
- $r \in \mathbb{L}_n$ .

- A **fuzzy Horn theory** is a finite set of fuzzy Horn clauses.
- Notice that the **conjunction on the left-hand side of a Horn clause might be empty**; that is, a Horn clause could have the form  $\langle \rightarrow y_1 \& \dots \& y_m \geq p \rangle$ .

# Semantics

- A **valuation** of the propositional variables is a function  $v: Var \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_n$ .
- A fuzzy Horn clause is **satisfied** by  $v$  if:
  - $(v(p_1) * \dots * v(p_k)) \Rightarrow (v(q_1) * \dots * v(q_m)) \geq p$ ,
  - $(v(p_1) * \dots * v(p_k)) \Rightarrow 0 \geq p$ ,

where the operation of the left-hand side of the implication is evaluated to 1 whenever  $k = 0$ .

- A fuzzy Horn theory  $\mathcal{H}$  is **satisfiable** if there is a valuation that satisfies all fuzzy Horn clauses in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

# NP-hardness

## $m$ -conjunctive normal form

- Given a set  $Var$  of variables, the set of **literals** is

$$Var \cup \{\neg p \mid p \in Var\}.$$

- For a natural number  $m$ , an  $m$ -**clause** is a **disjunction** of  $m$  literals:

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^m \ell_i.$$

- A propositional formula  $\varphi$  is in  $m$ -**conjunctive normal form** ( $m$ -CNF) if it is a conjunction of  $m$ -clauses:

$$\varphi \bigwedge_{i=1}^k C_i$$

for some  $k \geq 0$ , where each  $C_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$  is an  $m$ -clause.

- It is well-known that **deciding the satisfiability** of  $m$ -CNF formulae is NP-hard, for any  $m \geq 3$

# Reduction

- Let  $n \geq 4$  and define  $m := n - 1$ .
- Given an  $m$ -CNF formula

$$\varphi = \bigwedge_{i=1}^k C_i,$$

we **construct a fuzzy Horn theory**  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$  such that

$\varphi$  is satisfiable      iff       $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$  is satisfiable.

- Let  $Var(\varphi) \subseteq Var$  be the set of all **propositional variables appearing in  $\varphi$** .
- For each  $p \in Var(\varphi)$ , we employ a **fresh variable**  $p' \in Var$  to **simulate the literal**  $\neg p$  in the fuzzy Horn theory  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$ .

# Simulating the classical negation

- As a first step, we define the Horn theory

$$\mathcal{H}_\ell := \{ \langle p \ \& \ p' \rightarrow \perp \geq \frac{1}{m} \rangle \mid p \in \text{Var}(\varphi) \} \cup \\ \{ \langle \rightarrow p \ \& \ p' \geq \frac{m-1}{m} \rangle \mid p \in \text{Var}(\varphi) \}.$$

- Any valuation**  $v: \text{Var} \longrightarrow \mathbb{L}_n$  that satisfies the Horn clause

$$\langle \rightarrow p \ \& \ p' \geq \frac{m-1}{m} \rangle$$

must be such that

- $v(p) \geq \frac{m-1}{m}$ ,
- $v(p') \geq \frac{m-1}{m}$ ,
- $\max\{v(x), v(x')\} = 1$ .

- Moreover, if  $v$  also satisfies

$$\langle p \ \& \ p' \rightarrow \perp \geq \frac{1}{m} \rangle,$$

then it must be the case that

$$\min\{v(p), v(p')\} = \frac{m-1}{m}.$$

- For every  $p \in \text{Var}(\varphi)$  and for all valuations  $v$  satisfying  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ , **exactly one** of  $p, p'$  is evaluated to 1, while **the other** is evaluated to  $\frac{m-1}{m}$ .
- The **intuition** of this construction is that we will read
  - $v(p) = 1$  as evaluating the variable  $p$  to 1, and
  - $v(p) \neq 1$  (and hence  $v(p') = 1$ ) as evaluating  $p$  to 0.

# Building a fuzzy Horn theory

- Consider now the translation  $\rho$  that **maps literals to variables**, defined by

$$\rho(\ell) := \begin{cases} p & \text{if } \ell = p \in \text{Var} \\ p' & \text{if } \ell = \neg p, p \in \text{Var}. \end{cases}$$

- We **extend this mapping to  $m$ -clauses** by setting

$$\rho\left(\bigvee_{i=1}^m \ell_i\right) := \&_{i=1}^m \rho(\ell_i).$$



- A valuation **satisfies** the Horn clause

$$\langle \rightarrow \&_{i=1}^m \rho(\ell_i) \geq \frac{1}{m} \rangle$$

if and only if **at least one of the conjuncts**  $\rho(\ell_i)$  is evaluated to 1.

- This conjunct  $\rho(\ell_i)$  will correspond to the **literal satisfying the clause**  $\bigvee_{i=1}^m \ell_i$ .
- We thus define the fuzzy Horn theory

$$\mathcal{H}_\varphi := \mathcal{H}_\ell \cup \{ \langle \rightarrow \rho(C_i) \geq \frac{1}{m} \rangle \mid 1 \leq i \leq k \}.$$

## Completeness of the reduction

The  $m$ -CNF formula  $\varphi$  is satisfiable iff the fuzzy Horn theory  $\mathcal{H}_\varphi$  is satisfiable.

( $\Rightarrow$ ) If  $\varphi$  is satisfiable, then there is a **classical propositional valuation**  $V$  satisfying  $\varphi$ . We **define a fuzzy valuation**  $v: Var \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_n$  by setting for every  $p \in Var(\varphi)$

$$v(p) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } V(p) = 1 \\ \frac{m-1}{m} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$v(p') := \frac{2m-1}{m} - v(p).$$

$v$  **satisfies** all the Horn clauses in  $\mathcal{H}_\ell$ . Consider now the Horn clause  $\langle \rightarrow \rho(C) \geq \frac{1}{m} \rangle$  for some  $m$ -clause  $C = \bigvee_{i=1}^m \ell_i$  of  $\varphi$ . Since  $V$  is a **model of**  $\varphi$ , there exists an  $1 \leq i \leq m$  such that  $\ell_i$  is evaluated to 1 by  $V$ . By construction,  $v(\rho(\ell_i)) = 1$ , and hence  $v$  satisfies the Horn clause.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Conversely, let  $v$  be a **model of  $\mathcal{H}_\phi$** , and **consider the valuation  $V$**  that maps every variable  $p$

- to 1, if  $v(p) = 1$  and
- to 0 if  $v(p) = \frac{m-1}{m}$ .

**For any  $m$ -clause in  $\varphi$** , we know that  $v(\rho(C)) \geq \frac{1}{m}$ . Thus, **there is at least one literal  $\ell$**  appearing in  $C$  such that

$$v(\rho(\ell)) = 1.$$

Hence:

- If  $\ell$  is a propositional variable  $p$ , then  $v(p) = 1$  and  $V$  evaluates  $p$  to 1; hence  $V$  satisfies the clause  $C$ .
- Otherwise,  $\ell = \neg p$  for some propositional variable  $p$ . In this case,  $v(p) = \frac{m-1}{m}$  and  $V$  evaluates  $p$  to 0; i.e.,  $V$  evaluates  $\neg p$  to 1, and hence  $V$  satisfies  $C$ .

# Conclusions

- Using our construction, since  $n = m + 1$ , we obtain that **satisfiability of fuzzy Horn theories** in fuzzy Horn logic is NP-hard for any  $n \geq 4$ .
- The **upper bound** follows from the fact that one can simply guess a valuation in polynomial time ( $\mathcal{O}(n \cdot |Var|)$ ) and then check satisfaction of all clauses.
- our results prove hardness for **four-or-more-valued** Horn logics.
- For **two-valued Horn logics**, it is well-known that satisfiability is decidable in **linear time**.
- Unfortunately, the case of **three-valued semantics** is not covered by our result.

Thank you for the attention !