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#### Abstract

We consider the second order differential equation $x^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)$ with a Carathéodory nonlinearity $f$ and nonlinear boundary conditions $g_{1}\left(x(a), x^{\prime}(a)\right)=0, g_{2}\left(x(b), x^{\prime}(b)\right)=0$. Using the topological degree method we prove the existence of solutions provided $f, g_{1}, g_{2}$ satisfy appropriate sign conditions.
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## 1 Introduction

In the paper we study the nonlinear BVP

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{1.1}\\
g_{1}\left(x(a), x^{\prime}(a)\right)=0, \quad g_{2}\left(x(b), x^{\prime}(b)\right)=0, \tag{1.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $J=[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}, f \in \operatorname{Car}\left(J \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right), g_{1}, g_{2} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. We show sufficient conditions for the existence of at least one solution of (1.1), (1.2). By a solution we mean a function $u \in A C^{1}(J)$ (having an absolutely continuous first derivative on $J$ ) and satisfying conditions (1.2) and equation (1.1) for a.e. $t \in J$.

Such questions were studied for example in [1], [2], [3]. But in [2] the appropriate linear part of (1.2) was required and in [3] the upper and lower solutions

[^0]method was used and the monotonicity of $g_{1}, g_{2}$ was supposed. Our approach is close to [1], where problem (1.1), (1.2) is studied for a continuous right hand side $f$ satisfying the Bernstein-Nagumo growth conditions and $g_{1}, g_{2}$ monotonous in the second variable.

Here, $f, g_{1}, g_{2}$ satisfy only sign conditions and neither monotonicity of $g_{1}, g_{2}$, nor growth conditions for $f$ are required.

Our proofs are based on the following theorems:
Continuation Theorem [1, p.40] Let $X, Y$ be Banach spaces, $L: \operatorname{dom} L \subset$ $X \rightarrow Y$ a Fredholm map of index 0 and $\Omega \subset X$ an open bounded set. Let $N: X \rightarrow Y$ be L-compact on $\bar{\Omega}, Q: Y \rightarrow Y$ a continuous projector with $\operatorname{Ker} Q=\operatorname{Im} L$ and $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$ an isomorphism. Suppose
a) for each $\lambda \in(0,1)$ every solution $x$ of $L x=\lambda N x$ is such that $x \notin \partial \Omega$;
b) $Q N x \neq 0$ for each $x \in \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \partial \Omega$ and
c) the Brouwer degree $d\left[N_{0}, \Omega \cap \operatorname{Ker} L, 0\right] \neq 0$, where $N_{0}=J Q N: \operatorname{Ker} L \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L$.
Then the equation $L x=N x$ has at least one solution in $\operatorname{dom} L \cap \bar{\Omega}$.
Generalized Mean Value Theorem [5, p. 178] Let $D=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right] \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$, $a_{i}<b_{i}$ and $A_{i}=\left\{x \in D: x_{i}=a_{i}\right\}, B_{i}=\left\{x \in D: x_{i}=b_{i}\right\}, i=1,2$, $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$. Further let $f: D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}, x \rightarrow\left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x)\right)$ be continuous with $f_{i}(x) f_{i}\left(x^{\prime}\right)<0$ for any $x \in A_{i}, x^{\prime} \in B_{i}, i=1,2$. Then

$$
d[f, \text { int } D, 0]=\operatorname{sign}_{x \in B_{1}} f_{1}(x) \cdot \operatorname{sign}_{x \in B_{2}} f_{2}(x)= \pm 1 .
$$

## 2 The existence results for bounded nonlinearity

First we will prove the existence of solutions to (1.1), (1.2) provided $f$ is bounded by a Lebesgue integrable function $\varphi$.

Theorem 2.1 Let $r \in(0, \infty)$ and $\varphi \in L(J)$ be such that for a.e. $t \in J$ and each $x \in[-r, r]$

$$
\begin{gather*}
g_{1}(-r, 0) g_{1}(r, 0)<0,  \tag{2.1}\\
g_{2}(-r, 0) g_{2}(r, 0)<0,  \tag{2.2}\\
f(t,-r, 0)<0, \quad f(t, r, 0)>0  \tag{2.3}\\
|f(t, x, y)| \leq \varphi(t) \quad \text { for each } y \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then problem (1.1), (1.2) has a solution $u$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
-r \leq u(t) \leq r \quad \text { for each } t \in J \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove Theorem 1 we will study a system of auxiliary problems. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{n}(t, x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
f(t, r, 0) r & \text { for } x \geq r+1 / n \\
f(t, r, y)+[f(t, r, 0)-f(t, r, y)] n(x-r) & \text { for } r<x<r+1 / n \\
f(t, x, y) r & \text { for }-r \leq x \leq r \\
f(t,-r, y)-[f(t,-r, 0)-f(t,-r, y)] n(x+r) \\
& \text { for }-r-1 / n<x<-r \\
f(t,-r, 0) r & \text { for } x \leq-r-1 / n,
\end{array}\right. \\
& g_{i n}(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}
\begin{array}{lr}
g_{i}(r, 0) & \text { for } x \geq r+1 / n \\
g_{i}(r, y)+\left[g_{i}(r, 0)-g_{i}(r, y)\right] n(x-r) & \text { for } r<x<r+1 / n \\
g_{i}(x, y) & \text { for }-r \leq x \leq r \\
g_{i}(-r, y)-\left[g_{i}(-r, 0)-g_{i}(-r, y)\right] n(x+r) r \\
& \text { for }-r-1 / n<x<-r \\
g_{i}(-r, 0) r & \text { for } x \leq-r-1 / n,
\end{array}
\end{array}\right. \\
& i=1,2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, suppose that the conditions of Theorem 2.1 are fulfilled and consider the parameter system of equations

$$
x^{\prime \prime}=\lambda f_{n}\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right), \quad \lambda \in[0,1]
$$

with boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1 n}\left(x(a), x^{\prime}(a)\right)=0, \quad g_{2 n}\left(x(b), x^{\prime}(b)\right)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To apply the Continuation Theorem for problem (2.6 $)$, (2.7), let us use the notation:

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=C^{1}([a, b]), \quad Y=L(a, b) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}, \quad \operatorname{dom} L=A C^{1}([a, b]) \subset X, \\
L: \operatorname{dom} L \rightarrow Y, \quad x \rightarrow\left(x^{\prime \prime}, 0,0\right), \quad N: X \rightarrow Y, \\
x \rightarrow\left(f_{n}\left(\cdot, x(\cdot), x^{\prime}(\cdot)\right), g_{1 n}\left(x(a), x^{\prime}(a)\right), g_{2 n}\left(x(b), x^{\prime}(b)\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Problem (2.6 $\lambda$ ), (2.7) can be written in the form

$$
L x=\lambda N x .
$$

Lemma 2.2 $L$ is a Fredholm map of index 0.
Proof Ker $L=\{x \in X: x(t)=c(t-a)+d, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}, \operatorname{Im} L=L(a, b) \times\{(0,0)\}$ is closed in $Y, \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} L=\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{R}^{2}=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} L=2$.

Lemma 2.3 For any open bounded set $\Omega \subset X, N$ is L-compact on $\bar{\Omega}$.
Proof Consider the continuous projectors

$$
P: X \rightarrow X, x \rightarrow x^{\prime}(a)(t-a)+x(a), \quad Q: Y \rightarrow Y,(y, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow(0, \alpha, \beta) .
$$

Then the generalized inverse (to $L$ ) operator $K_{p}: \operatorname{Im} L \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} P \cap \operatorname{dom} L$ has the form

$$
K_{p}:(y, 0,0) \rightarrow \int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{\tau} y(s) d s d \tau
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
Q N: X \rightarrow Y, x \rightarrow\left(0, g_{1 n}\left(x(a), x^{\prime}(a)\right), g_{2 n}\left(x(b), x^{\prime}(b)\right)\right) \\
K_{p}(I-Q) N: X \rightarrow X, x \rightarrow \int_{a}^{t} \int_{a}^{\tau} f_{n}\left(s, x(s), x^{\prime}(s)\right) d s d \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

The relative compactness of $Q N(\bar{\Omega})$ and $K_{p}(I-Q) N(\bar{\Omega})$ can be shown similarly as e.g. in [6].

Lemma 2.4 Let problem (2.6 $\lambda$ ), (2.7) have a solution $u$ for some $\lambda \in(0,1]$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
-r-1 / n \leq u(t) \leq r+1 / n, \quad\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|<\rho \quad \text { for each } t \in J \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=2(r+2) /(b-a)+\int_{a}^{b} \varphi(t) d t \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Suppose that $\max \{u(t): t \in J\}=u(\bar{t})>r+1 / n$. Let $\bar{t} \in(a, b)$. Then we can find $\delta>0$ and $t_{0} \geq \bar{t}$ such that

$$
u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0, \quad u^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 \quad \text { and } \quad u(t) \geq r+1 / n
$$

for each $t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+\delta\right] \subset J$. Thus $\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+\delta} u^{\prime \prime}(\tau) d \tau \leq 0$. On the other hand

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+\delta} u^{\prime \prime}(\tau) d \tau=\lambda \int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+\delta} f(t, r, 0) d t>0
$$

a contradiction. Now, for $\bar{t}=a$ we have $g_{1 n}\left(u(a), u^{\prime}(a)\right)=g_{1}(r, 0) \neq 0$ and $\bar{t}=b$ implies $g_{2 n}\left(u(b), u^{\prime}(b)\right)=g_{2}(r, 0) \neq 0$. Similar arguments lead to a contradiction provided $\min \{u(t): t \in J\}<-r-1 / n$.

So, we have proved $-r-1 / n \leq u(t) \leq r+1 / n$ for each $t \in J$. And therefore we can find $t_{0} \in(a, b)$ such that $\left|\overline{u^{\prime}}\left(t_{0}\right)\right| \leq 2(r+1 / n) /(b-a)$. Integrating (2.6 $)$ from $t_{0}$ to $t$ we get $\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|<\rho$ for each $t \in J$.
Lemma 2.5 For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ problem (2.61), (2.7) has at least one solution $u$ satisfying (2.8).

Proof Let us put $\Omega=\left\{x \in X:|x(t)|<r+2,\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|<\rho\right.$ for each $\left.t \in J\right\}$. Then Lemma 2.4 implies that the condition a) of the Continuation Theorem is fulfilled. Let $Q$ be the projection of the proof of Lemma 2.3. Then

$$
Q N x=\left(0, g_{1 n}\left(x(a), x^{\prime}(a)\right), g_{2 n}\left(x(b), x^{\prime}(b)\right)\right)
$$

for $x \in X$. Since $\operatorname{Ker} L=\{x \in X: x(t)=c(t-a)+d, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $\operatorname{Ker} L \cap \Omega=$ $\{x \in X: x(t)=c(t-a)+d,|c(b-a)+d|<r+2,|d|<r+2\}$. Naturaly, the conditions $|c(b-a)+d|<r+2,|d|<r+2$ and $\rho>2(r+2) /(b-a)$ imply $\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|=|c|<\rho$ for each $t \in J$.

Let us suppose $Q N x=0$ for some $x \in \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \partial \Omega$. It is equivalent to $g_{1 n}(d, c)=0$ and $g_{2 n}(c(b-a)+d, c)=0$ for one of four possibilities:
a) $d=r+2$,
$|c(b-a)+d| \leq r+2$,
b) $d=-r-2$,
$|c(b-a)+d| \leq r+2$,
c) $c(b-a)+d=r+2, \quad|d| \leq r+2$,
d) $c(b-a)+d=-r-2, \quad|d| \leq r+2$.

But in these cases we have by (2.1) and (2.2)
a) $\quad g_{1 n}(r+2, c)=g_{1}(r, 0) \neq 0$,
b) $g_{1 n}(-r-2, c)=g_{1}(-r, 0) \neq 0$,
c) $g_{2 n}(r+2, c)=g_{2}(r, 0) \neq 0$,
d) $\quad g_{2 n}(-r-2, c)=g_{2}(-r, 0) \neq 0$.

Thus $Q N x \neq 0$ for each $x \in \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \partial \Omega$ and the condition b) is fulfilled.
Now, put $J: \operatorname{Im} Q \rightarrow \operatorname{Ker} L,(0, \alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \alpha(t-a)+\beta$. Then $N_{0}=J Q N:$ Ker $L \rightarrow$ Ker $L$ has the form

$$
N_{0}(c(t-a)+d)=g_{1 n}(d, c)(t-a)+g_{2 n}(c(b-a)+d, c)
$$

Therefore, since $\{(t-a), 1\}$ is a basis for Ker $L$,

$$
d\left[N_{0}, \operatorname{Ker} L \cap \Omega, 0\right]=d\left[\left(g_{1 n}(d, c), g_{2 n}(c(b-a)+d, c)\right), \Gamma, 0\right]
$$

where $\Gamma=\{(d, c(b-a)+d):|c(b-a)+d|<r+2,|d|<r+2\}$. Using $(2.1),(2.2)$ and $a), b), c), d)$ we get by means of The Generalized Mean Value Theorem
$d\left[\left(g_{1 n}(d, c), g_{2 n}(c(b-a)+d, c)\right), \Gamma, 0\right]=\operatorname{sign} g_{1 n}(r+2, c) \cdot \operatorname{sign} g_{2 n}(r+2, c) \neq 0$.
So, the condition c) of the Continuation Theorem is satisfied and problem (2.61), (2.7) has at least one solution $u \in \operatorname{dom} L \cap \bar{\Omega}$. By Lemma $2.4 u$ satisfies (2.8).

Proof of Theorem 2.1 For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let us consider the sequence of BVPs

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}=f_{n}\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{2.61}\\
g_{1 n}\left(x(a), x^{\prime}(a)\right)=0, \quad g_{2 n}\left(x(b), x^{\prime}(b)\right)=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

In Lemma 2.5 we proved for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the existence of a solution $u_{n}$ satisfying (2.8). By the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem and the integrated form of the equation, one gets the existence of a converging subsequence of $\left(u_{n}\right)_{1}^{\infty}$ whose limit is a solution $u$ of (1.1), (1.2) satisfying (2.5).

## 3 The existence results for unbounded nonlinearity

Theorem 3.1 Let $r, R \in(0, \infty)$ be such that for a.e. $t \in J$ and each $x \in[-r, r]$ the conditions (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(t, x, R)>0, \quad f(t, x,-R)<0,  \tag{3.1}\\
g_{2}(x, R) \cdot g_{2}(x,-R)<0 \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

are fulfilled.
Then problem (1.1), (1.2) has at least one solution $u$ satisfying (2.5) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
-R \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq R \quad \text { for each } t \in J \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof Let us put

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{f}(t, x, y)= \begin{cases}f(t, x, R) & \text { for } y>R \\
f(t, x, y) & \text { for }-R \leq y \leq R \\
f(t, x,-R) & \text { for } y<-R,\end{cases} \\
& \tilde{g}_{2}(x, y)= \begin{cases}g_{2}(x, R) & \text { for } y>R \\
g_{2}(x, y) & \text { for }-R \leq y \leq R \\
g_{2}(x,-R) & \text { for } y<-R,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and consider the problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{\prime \prime}=\tilde{f}\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
g_{1}\left(x(a), x^{\prime}(a)\right)=0, \quad \tilde{g}_{2}\left(x(b), x^{\prime}(b)\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

The functions $\tilde{f}, g_{1}, \tilde{g}_{2}$ fulfil the conditions of Theorem 2.1 with

$$
\varphi(t)=\sup \{|f(t, x, y)|: x \in[-r, r], y \in[-R, R]\}
$$

So, problem (3.4), (3.5) has a solution $u$ with $-r \leq u(t) \leq r$ on $J$. Suppose $\max \left\{u^{\prime}(t): t \in J\right\}=u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)>R$. Let $t_{0} \in[a, b)$. Then we can find $\delta>0$ such that $R<u^{\prime}(t) \leq u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)$ for each $t \in\left(t_{0}, t_{0}+\delta\right)$. On the other hand by (3.1)

$$
\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+\delta} u^{\prime \prime}(\tau) d \tau=\int_{t_{0}}^{t_{0}+\delta} f(\tau, u(\tau), R) d \tau>0
$$

a contradiction. Further $u^{\prime}(b)>R$ implies $\tilde{g}_{2}\left(u(b), u^{\prime}(b)\right)=g_{2}(u(b), R) \neq 0$. So $u^{\prime}(t) \leq R$ for each $t \in J$. The inequality $-R \leq u^{\prime}(t)$ for each $t \in J$ can be proved by similar arguments. Thus (3.3) is valid and therefore $u$ is a solution of $(1.1),(1.2)$ as well.

Theorem 3.2 Let $r, R \in(0, \infty)$ be such that for a.e. $t \in J$ and each $x \in[-r, r]$ the conditions (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(t, x, R)<0, \quad f(t, x,-R)>0,  \tag{3.6}\\
g_{1}(x, R) \cdot g_{1}(x,-R)<0 \tag{3.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

are fulfilled. Then problem (1.1), (1.2) has at least one solution $u$ satisfying (2.5) and (3.3).

Proof Theorem 3.2 can be proved similarly as Theorem 3.1.

## 4 Examples

Let us show some possibilities for $f$ satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.1: Suppose $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{1}, f_{2} \in L(J), f_{3} \in L^{\infty}(J)$ and $f_{i}(t)>0$ for a.e. $t \in J$, $i=1,2$. Then we can choose
a) $f$ superlinear:

$$
f(t, x, y)=f_{1}(t) x^{2 k-1} e^{x}+f_{2}(t) y^{2 n-1} e^{y}+f_{3}(t) ;
$$

b) $f$ linear:

$$
f(t, x, y)=f_{1}(t) x+f_{2}(t) y+f_{3}(t)
$$

c) $f$ sublinear:

$$
f(t, x, y)=f_{1}(t) \sqrt[2 k-1]{x}+f_{2}(t) \sqrt[2 n-1]{y}+f_{3}(t) ;
$$

d) $f$ nonmonotonous:

$$
f_{1}(t) \sin (x+y)+f_{2}(t) y \cos y
$$

Similarly for $g_{i}, i=1,2$, we have e.g. the following possibilities. Suppose $k, n \in \mathbb{N}, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \alpha_{1} \neq 0, \alpha_{2} \beta_{2} \neq 0$.
a) $g_{i}(x, y)=\alpha_{i} x^{2 k-1} e^{x}+\beta_{i} y^{2 n-1} e^{y}+\gamma_{i}$;
b) $g_{i}(x, y)=\alpha_{i} x+\beta_{i} y+\gamma_{i}$;
c) $g_{i}(x, y)=\alpha_{i} \sqrt[2 k-1]{x}+\beta_{i} \sqrt[2 n-1]{y}+\gamma_{i}$;
d) $g_{i}(x, y)=\alpha_{i} \sin (x+y)+\beta_{i} y \cos y$.
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