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Abstract. We consider the singular boundary value problem

$$
\left(t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n} f(t, u(t))=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad a_{0} u(1)+a_{1} u^{\prime}(1-)=A
$$

where $f(t, x)$ is a given continuous function defined on the set $(0,1] \times(0, \infty)$ which can have a time singularity at $t=0$ and a space singularity at $x=0$. Moreover, $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$, and $a_{0}, a_{1}, A$ are real constants such that $a_{0} \in(0, \infty)$, whereas $a_{1}, A \in[0, \infty)$. The main aim of this paper is to discuss the existence of solutions to the above problem and apply these general results to cover certain classes of singular problems arising in the theory of shallow membrane caps, where we are especially interested in characterizing positive solutions. We illustrate the analytical findings by numerical simulations based on polynomial collocation.
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## 1 Introduction

We investigate the solvability of the singular mixed boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n} f(t, u(t))=0, \quad 0<t<1  \tag{1.1a}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad a_{0} u(1)+a_{1} u^{\prime}(1-)=A \tag{1.1b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}, \geq 2, a_{0} \in(0, \infty), a_{1}, A \in[0, \infty)$ and we denoted $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1-} u^{\prime}(t)$ by $u^{\prime}(1-)$.
For the given function $f(t, x)$ we make the following assumption:
A1: The data function $f(t, x)$ is continuous on $(0,1] \times(0, \infty)$ and can have a time singularity at $t=0$ and a space singularity at $x=0$.

Definition 1.1. A function $f(t, x)$ has a time singularity at $t=0$, if there exists $x \in(0, \infty)$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}|f(t, x)| \mathrm{d} t=\infty, \quad \varepsilon \in(0,1)
$$

A function $f(t, x)$ has a space singularity at $x=0$, if

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow 0+}|f(t, x)|=\infty, \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

We focus our attention on the existence of positive solutions of problem (1.1) which are characterized in the following definition.

Definition 1.2. A function $u$ is called a positive solution of problem (1.1) if $u$ satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $u \in C[0,1] \cap C^{2}(0,1)$,
(ii) $u(t)>0$ for $t \in(0,1)$,
(iii) $u$ satisfies equation (1.1a) and boundary conditions (1.1b).

We aim at a proof of a general existence theorem for problem (1.1) which will enable a unified approach to the existence and localization of positive solutions for certain classes of singular problems, such as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(t^{3} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{3}\left(\frac{1}{8 u^{2}(t)}-\frac{\mu}{u(t)}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} t^{2 \gamma-4}\right)=0  \tag{1.2a}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{3} u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad a_{0} u(1)+a_{1} u^{\prime}(1-)=A \tag{1.2b}
\end{align*}
$$

With $\mu \geq 0, \lambda>0, \gamma>1$ problem (1.2) is a special case of (1.1). Boundary value problems (1.2) arise in the theory of shallow membrane caps and were investigated in [14], [15], [16], and [21]. Equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}(t)+\frac{3}{t} u^{\prime}(t)+\frac{q(t)}{u^{2}(t)}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q$ is continuous on $[0,1]$ and positive on $(0,1)$, augmented by boundary conditions (1.1b) was studied in [2]. It describes the behavior of symmetric circular membranes and can be easily transformed to a special case of (1.1). Finally, a problem posed on a semi-infinite interval,

$$
\begin{align*}
& z^{\prime \prime}(s)+\frac{1}{s^{3}}\left(\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 s^{\gamma-2}}-\frac{1}{32 z^{2}(s)}+\frac{\mu}{4 z(s)}\right)=0, \quad 1<s<\infty  \tag{1.4a}\\
& \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty}|z(s)|<\infty, \quad b_{0} z(1)-b_{1} z^{\prime}(1-)=A \tag{1.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

also arises in the membrane theory and for $A>0$ it was discussed in [1] and [8]. It can be written in form (1.2), where $a_{0}=b_{0}, a_{1}=2 b_{1}$, by using the substitution

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=\frac{1}{t^{2}}, \quad z(s)=z\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)=: u(t) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2 Existence theorems for problem (1.1)

Our analytical approach is based on the lower and upper functions method which is here extended to the general singular problem of the form (1.1). In the sequel, we shall use the following definitions:
Definition 2.1. A function $\sigma$ is called a lower function of equation (1.1a), if $\sigma$ satisfies the following requiremnts:
(i) $\sigma \in C[0,1] \cap C^{2}(0,1)$,
(ii) $\left(t^{n} \sigma^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n} f(t, \sigma(t)) \geq 0, \quad t \in(0,1)$.

If the inequality in (ii) is reversed, $\sigma$ is called an upper function of equation (1.1a). If $\sigma$ satisfies (i), (ii) and
(iii) $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} \sigma^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad a_{0} \sigma(1)+a_{1} \sigma^{\prime}(1-) \leq A$,
then $\sigma$ is called a lower function of the boundary value problem (1.1). If the inequalities in (ii) and (iii) are reversed, then $\sigma$ is called an upper function of the boundary value problem (1.1).

In general, $t^{n} \sigma^{\prime}(t)$ can become unbounded at the endpoints of the integration interval, $t=0$ and $t=1$. For more general definitions of lower and upper functions, see e.g. [12], [17] or [22].

For the next two theorems we need the following assumptions:

A2.1: $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are a lower and an upper function of problem (1.1), respectively.
A2.2: $0<\sigma_{1}(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t)$ for $t \in(0,1)$.
A2.3: There exists $p<2$ such that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{p} h(t)<\infty$, where

$$
h(t)=\sup \left\{|f(t, x)|: \sigma_{1}(t) \leq x \leq \sigma_{2}(t)\right\} .
$$

Note that $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ can vanish at $t=0$ and $t=1$. Since $f(t, x)$ may exhibit singularities at $t=0$ and $x=0$, we easily see that $h$ can become unbounded, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0+} h(t)=\infty, \quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow 1-} h(t)=\infty \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.2. Assume that $A 1$ and $A 2.1-A 2.3$ hold.
(i) Let $h$ be bounded on $[0,1]$. Then problem (1.1) has a positive solution $u$ such that $u \in C^{1}[0,1]$ and $u^{\prime}(0)=0$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Let $h$ satisfy (2.1). Furthermore let us assume that there exists a constant $\delta_{1} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, \quad\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0, \quad t \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sigma_{1}(1)=\sigma_{2}(1)$, and there are $\delta_{2} \in(0,1), K \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq K, \quad\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq K, \quad t \in\left(1-\delta_{2}, 1\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then problem (1.1) with $A=0$ in (1.1b) has a positive solution $u$ satisfying (2.2).
Proof. (i) For $h$ bounded on $[0,1]$, (i) follows by arguing as in the regular case, where $f$ is continuous or satisfies the Carathéodory conditions on $[0,1] \times[0, \infty)$, see e.g. Theorem 2.3 in [21].
(ii) Let $h$ satisfy (2.1) and let (2.3), (2.4), and $\sigma_{1}(1)=\sigma_{2}(1)$ hold. Now the proof is carried out in five steps.
Step 1. We first show that $A=0$ : The condition $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow 1-} h(t)=\infty$ and A1 imply $\sigma_{1}(1)=0$. From $\sigma_{1}(1)=\sigma_{2}(1)$ also $\sigma_{2}(1)=0$ follows. If $a_{1}=0$, then Definition 2.1(iii) yields $0=a_{0} \sigma_{1}(1) \leq A$ and $0=a_{0} \sigma_{2}(1) \geq A$. Therefore,
$A=0$. If $a_{1}>0$, Definition 2.1(iii) yields $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(1-) \geq \frac{A}{a_{1}}$. Due to A2.2, $\sigma_{2}(t)>0$ for $t \in(0,1)$ and hence $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(1-) \leq 0$. Therefore $A=0$.
Step 2. Approximate solutions $u_{k}$ : Choose $k \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{k} \leq \min \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$, and define

$$
f_{k}(t, x):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{k}\right), \\
f(t, x), t \in\left[\frac{1}{k}, 1-\frac{1}{k}\right] \\
-\frac{K}{t^{n}}, t \in\left(1-\frac{1}{k}, 1\right] .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n} f_{k}(t, u(t))=0 . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are lower and upper functions of equation (2.5) subject to (1.1b) and

$$
h_{k}(t):=\sup \left\{\left|f_{k}(t, x)\right|: \sigma_{1}(t) \leq x \leq \sigma_{2}(t)\right\}
$$

is bounded on $[0,1]$. By Part (i) of the proof, problem (2.5), (1.1b) has a solution $u_{k} \in C^{1}[0,1] \cap C^{2}(0,1)$ satisfying $u_{k}^{\prime}(0)=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}(t) \leq u_{k}(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t), \quad t \in[0,1] \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 3. Properties of the function $h$ : We now derive some useful properties of $h$ which will be required in next steps of the proof. Choose an interval $[0, b] \subset[0,1)$. Due to A1 and A2.2, the function $t^{n} h(t)$ is continuous on $(0, b]$. Since $p<2 \leq n$, it follows from A2.3 that $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} h(t)=0$ holds. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s=: M_{b} \in(0, \infty) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by the de l'Hospital's rule and A2.3,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{t^{n-p+1}} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{t^{n} h(t)}{(n-p+1) t^{n-p}}=\frac{1}{n-p+1} \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{p} h(t)=: c_{0} \in(0, \infty)
\end{aligned}
$$

This yields an $\varepsilon \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq\left(c_{0}+1\right) \frac{1}{t^{p-1}}, t \in[0, \varepsilon] .
$$

Moreover, by (2.7),

$$
\frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n}} \int_{0}^{b} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s=\frac{M_{b}}{\varepsilon^{n}} \quad \text { for } t \in[\varepsilon, b]
$$

Finally, from the last two inequalities, it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} \frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t<\infty \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Step 4. Properties of the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ : Consider the sequence of equations (2.5) subject to (1.1b), where $k \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{k} \leq \min \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$, where $\delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{2}$ are specified by (2.3) and (2.4), respectively. From Step 2 we obtain the corresponding sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ of their solutions which are approximations for $u$. Let us first discuss the convergence properties of $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$. Choose an interval $[0, b] \subset[0,1)$. Then there exists an index $k_{1} \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{k_{1}} \leq \min \left\{\delta_{1}, \delta_{2}\right\}$, such that

$$
[0, b] \subset\left[0,1-\frac{1}{k}\right], k \geq k_{1}
$$

Due to boundary conditions (1.1b) and equation (2.5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{n} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} s^{n} f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=0, \quad t \in[0, b], \quad k \geq k_{1} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right)\right| \leq h(t), \quad t \in\left[0,1-\frac{1}{k}\right], \quad k \geq k_{1} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

condition (2.7) and equality (2.9) yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|t^{n} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{t} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq M_{b}, \quad t \in[0, b], \quad k \geq k_{1} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (2.6) and (2.11) the sequences $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{t^{n} u_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ are bounded on $[0, b]$. Moreover, by (2.7) and (2.8), for each $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a $\delta>0$ such that for any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, b]$ such that $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<\delta$, and any $k \geq k_{1}$,

$$
\left|t_{1}^{n} u_{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)-t_{2}^{n} u_{k}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s\right|<\varepsilon
$$

and

$$
\left|u_{k}\left(t_{1}\right)-u_{k}\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t\right|<\varepsilon
$$

holds. Hence, the sequences $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ and $\left\{t^{n} u_{k}^{\prime}\right\}$ are equicontinuous on $[0, b]$. The Arzelà-Ascoli theorem now implies that there exists a subsequence $\left\{u_{\ell}\right\} \subset\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ such that

$$
\lim _{\ell \rightarrow \infty} u_{\ell}=u, \quad \lim _{\ell \rightarrow \infty} t^{n} u_{\ell}^{\prime}=t^{n} u^{\prime}
$$

uniformly on $[0, b]$. Finally, by the diagonalization principle, we find a subsequence ${ }^{1}\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}=u, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t^{n} u_{k}^{\prime}=t^{n} u^{\prime} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

locally uniformly on $[0,1)$.
Step 5. Properties of the function $u$ : We now prove that the limit function $u$ is a positive solution of problem (1.1) satisfying (2.2). Due to (2.6) and (2.12) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{1}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t), \quad t \in[0,1), \quad u \in C[0,1)  \tag{2.13a}\\
& t^{n} u^{\prime}(t) \in C[0,1), \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)=0 \tag{2.13b}
\end{align*}
$$

Choose $t \in(0,1)$. Then there exists $k_{t} \geq k_{1}$ such that

$$
f\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right)=f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right), \quad k \geq k_{t}
$$

and hence, by A1 and (2.12),

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right)=f(t, u(t))
$$

Consequently, the sequence $\left\{f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right)\right\}$ is pointwise converging on $(0,1)$. Furthermore, for an arbitrary interval $[0, b] \subset[0,1)$ we have by (2.10),

$$
\left|t^{n} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t)\right)\right| \leq t^{n} h(t), \quad t \in[0, b], \quad k \geq k_{1}
$$

Therefore, due to (2.7), we can use the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem for the sequence of equalities (2.9). Having in mind that $b \in(0,1)$ is arbitrary and letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that

$$
t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)+\int_{0}^{t} s^{n} f(s, u(s)) \mathrm{d} s=0, \quad t \in[0,1)
$$

Thus $u \in C^{2}(0,1)$ and $u$ satisfies equation (1.1a) for $t \in(0,1)$. By Step 1, we have $\sigma_{1}(1)=\sigma_{2}(1)=A=0$ and consequently, by $(2.13 \mathrm{a}), \lim _{t \rightarrow 1-} u(t)=0$ follows. For $u(1)=0$, we can see that $u \in C[0,1]$ is a positive solution of problem (1.1) which completes the proof.

Theorem 2.3. Assume that $A 1$ and $A 2.1-A 2.3$ hold.
(i) Let $h$ be bounded at $t=0$ and let us assume that $\lim \sup _{t \rightarrow 1-} h(t)=\infty$ and condition (2.4) hold. Then problem (1.1) with $A=0$ in (1.1b) has a positive solution $u \in C^{1}[0,1)$ which satisfies estimate $(2.2)$ and $u^{\prime}(0)=0$.
(ii) Let $h$ be bounded at $t=1$ and let $\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0+} h(t)=\infty$ and condition (2.3) hold. Then problem (1.1) has a positive solution $u \in C^{1}(0,1]$ which satisfies estimate (2.2).

[^1]Proof. We use arguments similar to those from the proof of Theorem 2.2.
(i) Since $h$ is bounded at $t=0$, we define

$$
f_{k}(t, x):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f(t, x), \quad t \in\left[0,1-\frac{1}{k}\right] \\
-\frac{K}{t^{n}}, \quad t \in\left(1-\frac{1}{k}, 1\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{k} \leq \delta_{2}$ and $\delta_{2}, K$ are given by (2.4). As in Steps $2-4$, we construct the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ of solutions of equations (2.5) subject to (1.1b) which satisfy (2.6) and (2.12). By Step 5 , the limit function $u$ is a positive solution of problem (1.1) satisfying (2.2). Since $h$ is bounded at $t=0$, we have

$$
\sup \left\{|h(t)|: t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right]\right\}=: M<\infty
$$

and therefore

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq \frac{M}{n+1} t, \quad t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{2}\right] .
$$

For $u^{\prime}(0)=0, u \in C^{1}[0,1)$ follows.
(ii) Since $h$ is bounded at $t=1$, we set

$$
f_{k}(t, x):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0, t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{k}\right) \\
f(t, x), \quad t \in\left[\frac{1}{k}, 1\right]
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $k \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{k} \leq \delta_{1}$ and $\delta_{1}$ is specified by (2.3). As in Step 2 we derive the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ of solutions of equations (2.5) subject to (1.1b) and satisfying (2.6). Moreover, similarly to Step 3, we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{1} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s<\infty, \quad \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n} h(s) \mathrm{d} s \mathrm{~d} t<\infty
$$

and we deduce, as in Step 4, that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}=u, \quad \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t^{n} u_{k}^{\prime}=t^{n} u^{\prime}
$$

holds uniformly on $[0,1]$. Therefore, $u \in C[0,1] \cap C^{1}(0,1]$ and $u$ satisfies (1.1b) and (2.2). By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, as in Step 5, we conclude that $u \in C^{2}(0,1)$ satisfies equation (1.1a) for $t \in(0,1)$ and the result follows.

Note that the existence of nonnegative solutions for mixed problems where $f$ may be singular just at $x=0$ was also proved in [3].

## 3 Singular membrane problems

In this section we use Theorems 2.2 and 2.3 to prove the solvability of singular membrane problems. We study the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{u^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{u^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right)=0  \tag{3.1a}\\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad a_{0} u(1)+a_{1} u^{\prime}(1-)=A \tag{3.1b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a \in(0, \infty), b, c \in[0, \infty), r \in(-1, \infty), m, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 2$. Problem (3.1) covers the membrane problem (1.2) and, after substitution (1.5), also the infinite interval problem (1.4).
In order to be able to utilize results formulated in Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, it is necessary to show how to find proper lower and upper functions of the above problem. We begin with lower and upper functions of equation (3.1a), the choice of which depends on the parameters $a, b, c, r, n$ and $m$.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $c_{1} \in\left(0, x_{1}^{-\frac{1}{m}}\right]$, where $x_{1}=\frac{1}{2 a}\left(b+\sqrt{b^{2}+4 a c}\right)$. For $t \in[0,1]$, we define

$$
\sigma_{1}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{1}, \quad r \geq 0  \tag{3.2}\\
c_{1} t^{-\frac{r}{m}}, \quad r \in(-1,0)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\sigma_{1}$ is a lower function of equation (3.1a).
Proof. Since $c_{1}^{-m} \geq x_{1}$ and $x_{1}$ is a positive solution of the equation $a x^{2}-b x-c=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{a}{c_{1}^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{c_{1}^{m}}-c \geq 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $r \geq 0$. Then $\sigma_{1}(t) \equiv c_{1}$ and, by (3.3),

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_{1}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{1}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right) \geq t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{c_{1}^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{c_{1}^{m}}-c\right) \geq 0, \quad t \in(0,1) .
$$

Let $r \in(-1,0)$. Then $\sigma_{1}(t)=c_{1} t^{-\frac{r}{m}}$ and, by (3.3),
$\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_{1}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{1}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right) \geq t^{n+2 r}\left(\frac{a}{c_{1}^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{c_{1}^{m}}-c\right) \geq 0, \quad t \in(0,1)$.
This means $\sigma_{1}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.1.
Lemma 3.2. Let us assume that $c_{2} \in\left[x_{1}^{-\frac{1}{m}}, \infty\right)$, where $x_{1}$ is given by Lemma 3.1. For $t \in[0,1]$ define

$$
\sigma_{2}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{2}+\frac{c}{n}(1-t), \quad r>0  \tag{3.4}\\
c_{2}, \quad r \in(-1,0]
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then $\sigma_{2}$ is an upper function of equation (3.1a).

Proof. Let $r \in(-1,0]$. Then $\sigma_{2}(t) \equiv c_{2}$. Since $0<c_{2}^{-m} \leq x_{1}$ we have

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_{2}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{2}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right) \leq t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{c_{2}^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{c_{2}^{m}}-c\right) \leq 0, \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

Let $r>0$. Then $\sigma_{2}(t)=c_{2}+\frac{c}{n}(1-t)$ and

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_{2}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{2}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right) \leq t^{n-1}(-c+t \psi(t)), \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

where

$$
\psi(t)=\frac{a}{\left[c_{2}+\frac{c}{n}(1-t)\right]^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{\left[c_{2}+\frac{c}{n}(1-t)\right]^{m}} .
$$

If $\psi(t)$ is positive for some $t \in(0,1)$, we can conclude

$$
-c+t \psi(t) \leq-c+\frac{a}{c_{2}^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{c_{2}^{m}} \leq 0
$$

and thus, by Definition 2.1, the function $\sigma_{2}$ is an upper function of (3.1a).
We now specify the $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ in $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ from Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, respectively, in order to satisfy condition A2.2 and Definition 2.1(iii). For $\sigma_{2}$ we take Definition 2.1(iii) with the reversed inequalities.

Lemma 3.3. Let $A>0$ and $x_{1}$ be as in Lemma 3.1. Set $r^{-}:=\max \{0,-r\}$ and

$$
c_{1}:=\min \left\{\frac{A m}{a_{0} m+a_{1} r^{-}}, x_{1}^{-\frac{1}{m}}\right\}, \quad c_{2}:=\max \left\{\frac{1}{a_{0}}\left(A+\frac{a_{1} c}{n}\right), x_{1}^{-\frac{1}{m}}\right\} .
$$

Then $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ given by (3.2) and (3.4), respectively, are lower and upper functions of problem (3.1) and satisfy A2.2.
Proof. By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are lower and upper functions of equation (3.1a). We see that A2.2 holds and (3.2), (3.4) yield

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)=0
$$

Finally,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0} \sigma_{1}(1)+a_{1} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(1-)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{0} c_{1} \leq A, r \geq 0, \\
c_{1}\left(a_{0}-a_{1} \frac{r}{m}\right) \leq A, r \in(-1,0),
\end{array}\right. \\
& a_{0} \sigma_{2}(1)+a_{1} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(1-)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a_{0} c_{2} \geq A, r \in(-1,0], \\
a_{0} c_{2}-a_{1} \frac{c}{n} \geq A, r>0 .
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3.3 was dealing with the case $A>0$. In the next two lemmas we will discuss the case $A=0$ where constant lower and upper functions do not exist.

Lemma 3.4. Let $A=0$ and $a_{1}>0$. Set $k:=1+\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}-a_{1} \frac{r}{m}}$ and for $t \in[0,1]$ define

$$
\sigma_{1}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nu\left(1-t^{2}+2 \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right), \quad r \geq 0,  \tag{3.5}\\
\nu t^{-\frac{r}{m}}(k-t), \quad r \in(-1,0),
\end{array} \quad \sigma_{2}(t):=\beta\left(1-t^{2}+2 \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right) .\right.
$$

Then, there exist constants $\nu^{*}, \beta^{*} \in(0, \infty)$ such that for each $\nu \in\left(0, \nu^{*}\right)$ and $\beta \geq \beta^{*}$, the functions $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are a lower function and an upper function of problem (3.1) satisfying A2.2.
Proof. By direct calculations we can see that $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} \sigma_{i}^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad a_{0} \sigma_{i}(1)+a_{1} \sigma_{i}^{\prime}(1-)=0, \quad i=1,2 .
$$

Let $r \geq 0$. Then $\sigma_{1}(t)=\nu\left(1-t^{2}+2 \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right)$ and

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_{1}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{1}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right) \geq t^{n} \varphi_{1}(t, \nu), \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{1}(t, \nu)=-2 \nu(n+1)+\frac{a}{\left[\nu\left(1-t^{2}+2 \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right]^{2 m}\right.}-\frac{b}{\left[\nu\left(1-t^{2}+2 \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right]^{m}\right.}-c
$$

Since $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0+} \varphi_{1}(t, \nu)=\infty$ uniformly on $[0,1]$, we can find $\nu^{*}>0$ such that for each $\nu \in\left(0, \nu^{*}\right]$, the inequality $\varphi_{1}(t, \nu) \geq 0$ holds for $t \in[0,1]$.
Let $r \in(-1,0)$. Then $\sigma_{1}(t)=\nu t^{-\frac{r}{m}}(k-t)$ and

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_{1}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{1}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right) \geq t^{n-\frac{r}{m}-2} \psi_{1}(t, \nu), \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

where

$$
\psi_{1}(t, \nu)=\nu \ell(t)+t^{2 r+\frac{r}{m}+2} h(t, \nu), \quad h(t, \nu)=\frac{a}{[\nu(k-t)]^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{[\nu(k-t)]^{m}}-c
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell(t)=-\frac{r k}{m}\left(n-\frac{r}{m}-1\right)-\left(n-\frac{r}{m}\right)\left(1-\frac{r}{m}\right) t . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose $c_{1}>0$ as in Lemma 3.1 and let $\nu_{1} \in\left(0, \frac{c_{1}}{k}\right]$. Then, by (3.3), $h(t, \nu) \geq 0$ for $\nu \in\left(0, \nu_{1}\right], t \in[0,1]$. We now denote the unique zero of $\ell(t)$ by $t_{0}$ and have $\ell(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right]$. Consequently,

$$
\psi_{1}(t, \nu) \geq 0, \quad \nu \in\left(0, \nu_{1}\right], \quad t \in\left[0, t_{0}\right] .
$$

Furthermore,

$$
\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0+} \psi_{1}(t, \nu)=\infty
$$

uniformly for $t \in\left[t_{0}, 1\right]$. Therefore we can find $\nu^{*} \in\left(0, \nu_{1}\right]$ such that for each $\nu \in\left(0, \nu^{*}\right]$, the inequality $\psi_{1}(t, \nu) \geq 0$ holds for $t \in[0,1]$.
Let us now consider $\sigma_{2}(t)=\beta\left(1-t^{2}+2 \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right)$. We have

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_{2}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{2}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right) \leq t^{n} \varphi(\beta), \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

where

$$
\varphi(\beta)=-2(n+1) \beta+a\left(2 \beta \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right)^{-2 m}
$$

Since $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\beta)=-\infty$, there exists $\beta^{*}>\nu^{*}$ such that for each $\beta \geq \beta^{*}$, $\varphi(\beta)>0$ follows.

Lemma 3.5. Let $A=0$ and $a_{1}=0$. For $t \in[0,1]$ let us define

$$
\sigma_{1}(t):=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\nu\left(1-t^{2}\right), \quad r \geq 0,  \tag{3.7}\\
\nu t^{-\frac{r}{m}}(1-t), \quad r \in(-1,0),
\end{array} \quad \sigma_{2}(t):=\beta\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m}}\right.
$$

Then there exist constants $\nu^{*}, \beta^{*} \in(0, \infty)$ such that for each $\nu \in\left(0, \nu^{*}\right)$ and $\beta \geq \beta^{*}$, the functions $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are a lower function and an upper function of problem (3.1) satisfying A2.2.
Proof. We can easily check that $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{n} \sigma_{i}^{\prime}(t)=0, \quad \sigma_{i}(1)=0, \quad i=1,2
$$

Let $r \geq 0$. Then $\sigma_{1}(t)=\nu\left(1-t^{2}\right)$ and

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_{1}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{1}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right) \geq t^{n} \varphi(t, \nu), \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

where

$$
\varphi(t, \nu)=-2 \nu(n+1)+\frac{a}{\left[\nu\left(1-t^{2}\right)\right]^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{\left[\nu\left(1-t^{2}\right)\right]^{m}}-c
$$

Since $\lim _{\nu \rightarrow 0+} \varphi(t, \nu)=\infty$ uniformly on $[0,1]$, we can find $\nu^{*}>0$ such that for each $\nu \in\left(0, \nu^{*}\right]$, the inequality $\varphi(t, \nu) \geq 0$ holds for $t \in[0,1]$.
Let $r \in(-1,0)$. Then $\sigma_{1}(t)=\nu t^{-\frac{r}{m}}(1-t)$ and similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.4 we conclude that for each sufficiently small positive $\nu$ the function $\sigma_{1}$ is a lower function of problem (3.1).
Now, consider $\sigma_{2}(t)=\beta\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m}}$. We have

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}+t^{n}\left(\frac{a}{\sigma_{2}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{2}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r}\right) \leq t^{n}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m}-2} \varphi_{2}(t, \beta), \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{2}(t, \beta)=-\frac{2 \beta}{m}\left(1-\frac{1}{2 m}\right)+a \beta^{-2 m}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{1-\frac{1}{2 m}}
$$

Since $\lim _{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{2}(t, \beta)=-\infty$ uniformly on $[0,1]$, we can find a $\beta^{*}>\nu^{*}$ such that for each $\beta \geq \beta^{*}, \varphi_{2}(t, \beta) \leq 0$ on $(0,1)$ holds. Therefore, $\sigma_{2}$ is an upper function of (3.1) and A2.2 is satisfied.

Having derived lower and upper functions of problem (3.1) for all values of its parameters, we can prove the existence of a positive solution $u$ to this problem and describe how $u^{\prime}$ behaves at the singular points $t=0$ and $t=1$.

Theorem 3.6. Problem (3.1) has a positive solution u such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u(0)>0, \quad u^{\prime}(0+)=0, \quad r>-\frac{1}{2}  \tag{3.8}\\
u(0)>0, \\
u(0) \geq 0, \quad u^{\prime}(0+)=\frac{c}{n}, \quad r=-\frac{1}{2} \\
u+)=\infty, \quad r<-\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime}(1-) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad A>0  \tag{3.9}\\
u^{\prime}(1-) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad A=0, a_{1}>0 \\
u^{\prime}(1-)=-\infty, \quad A=0, a_{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Lower and upper functions $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ of problem (3.1) satisfying A2.2 are given according to Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5. Function

$$
f(t, x)=\frac{a}{x^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{x^{m}}-c t^{2 r}
$$

satisfies A1. Consider the function $h$ from A2.3. Then, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq h(t) \leq \frac{a}{\sigma_{1}^{2 m}(t)}+\frac{b}{\sigma_{1}^{m}(t)}+c t^{2 r}, \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case 1. We assume that $A>0$ or $A=0, a_{1}>0$. We first find $c_{1}$, by Lemma 3.3, and then choose $\nu \in\left(0, \nu^{*}\right)$ in (3.5) such that $\nu k \leq c_{1}$.

Let $r \geq 0$. Then $\sigma_{1}$ is positive on $[0,1]$ and (3.10) implies that $h$ is bounded on $[0,1]$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t h(t)=0$. Thus, $h$ satisfies condition A2.3 with $p=1$ and, by Theorem 2.2(i), problem (3.1) has a positive solution $u \in C^{1}[0,1]$ satisfying $u^{\prime}(0)=0$ and (2.2). Since $\sigma_{1}(0)>0, u(0)>0$ follows.
Let $r \in(-1,0)$. Then (3.10) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq h(t) \leq t^{2 r}\left(\frac{a}{[\nu(k-1)]^{2 m}}+\frac{b}{[\nu(k-1)]^{m}}+c\right), \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
h(t) \geq \frac{a}{\sigma_{1}^{2 m}(t)}-\frac{b}{\sigma_{1}^{m}(t)}-c t^{2 r} \geq t^{2 r}\left(\frac{a}{c_{1}^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{c_{1}^{m}}-c\right)>0, \quad t \in(0,1) .
$$

By (3.11) and the last inequality we have

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0+} h(t)=\infty, \quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow 1-} h(t)<\infty .
$$

Due to (3.11), for $p=-2 r$, we can show A2.3 since

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{p} h(t) \leq \frac{a}{[\nu(k-1)]^{2 m}}+\frac{b}{[\nu(k-1)]^{m}}+c<\infty .
$$

Now we prove (2.3). If $A>0$, we use Lemma 3.3 and have $\sigma_{1}(t)=c_{1} t^{-\frac{r}{m}}$, $\sigma_{2}(t) \equiv c_{2}$. Hence

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=c_{1}\left(-\frac{r}{m}\right)\left(n-1-\frac{r}{m}\right) t^{n-2-\frac{r}{m}} \geq 0, \quad\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=0, \quad t \in(0,1)
$$

For $A=0$ and $a_{1}>0$, we use Lemma 3.4 and have $\sigma_{1}(t)=\nu t^{-\frac{r}{m}}(k-t)$, $\sigma_{2}(t)=\beta\left(1-t^{2}+2 \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}}\right)$. Hence

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=\nu t^{n-2-\frac{r}{m}} \ell(t) \geq 0, \quad\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-2 \beta(n+1) t^{n} \leq 0, t \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right)
$$

where $\ell(t)$ is given by (3.6) and $\delta_{1}=t_{0}$ is its unique zero. Therefore, condition (2.3) holds. Consequently, by Theorem 2.3(ii), problem (3.1) has a positive solution $u \in C^{1}(0,1]$ satisfying (2.2).
It remains to prove (3.8) for $r \in(-1,0)$. Equation (3.1a) and condition (3.1b) result in

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} s^{n}\left(\frac{a}{u^{2 m}(s)}-\frac{b}{u^{m}(s)}-c s^{2 r}\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad t \in(0,1) \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consequently, since $n \geq 2$ and $r>-1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \int_{0}^{t} s^{n}\left(\frac{b}{u^{m}(s)}-\frac{a}{u^{2 m}(s)}\right) \mathrm{d} s=0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $u(0)=0$. Since $\sigma_{1}(0)=0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\infty$, inequality (2.2) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} u^{\prime}(t)=\infty . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, assumption $u(0)=0$ guarantees the existence of $\delta>0$ such that $u^{m}(t) \leq \frac{a}{b}$ for $t \in[0, \delta]$. Then, by (3.12),

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{t^{n}} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{s^{n}}{u^{2 m}(s)}\left(b u^{m}(s)-a\right) \mathrm{d} s+\frac{c t^{2 r+1}}{n+2 r+1} \leq \frac{c t^{2 r+1}}{n+2 r+1}, t \in(0, \delta)
$$

If $r \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$, then $u^{\prime}(t) \leq \frac{c}{n}$ on ( $\left.0, \delta\right)$, in contradiction to (3.14). This means that we have shown

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \geq-\frac{1}{2} \Longrightarrow u(0)>0 \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right)$, using (3.12), (3.13), (3.15) and the de l'Hospital's rule we obtain

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} u^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{c t^{2 r+1}}{n+2 r+1}= \begin{cases}0, & r \in\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right),  \tag{3.16}\\ \frac{c}{n}, & r=-\frac{1}{2}\end{cases}
$$

Let $r \in\left(-1,-\frac{1}{2}\right)$. If $u(0)=0$, then (3.14) holds. If $u(0)>0$, then by (3.12), (3.13) and the de l'Hospital's rule we deduce as before,

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} u^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{c t^{2 r+1}}{n+2 r+1}=\infty
$$

Case 2. Now, we consider the case $A=0, a_{1}=0$.
Let $r \geq 0$, then by Lemma 3.5,

$$
\sigma_{1}(t)=\nu\left(1-t^{2}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}(t)=\beta\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m}}
$$

where $0<\nu<\beta$ with a sufficiently small $\nu$ and a sufficiently large $\beta$. For $t \in(0,1)$ we have

$$
0<\frac{1}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{2 m}}\left(\frac{a}{\nu^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{\nu^{m}}-c\right) \leq h(t) \leq \frac{1}{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{2 m}}\left(\frac{a}{\nu^{2 m}}+\frac{b}{\nu^{m}}+c\right)
$$

and consequently,

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0+} h(t)<\infty, \quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow 1-} h(t)=\infty
$$

Hence, A2.3 holds. Moreover,

$$
\sigma_{1}(1)=\sigma_{2}(1)=0=A, \quad\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-2 \nu(n+1) t^{n}
$$

and

$$
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-\frac{\beta}{m} t^{n}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m}-2}\left((n+1)\left(1-t^{2}\right)+2 t^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)\right)
$$

This means that there exists $\delta_{2} \in(0,1)$ such that (2.4) is valid for $K=-2 \nu(n+1)$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.3(i), problem (3.1) has a positive solution $u \in C^{1}[0,1)$ satisfying $u^{\prime}(0)=0$ and (2.2). Since $\sigma_{1}(0)>0$, we have $u(0)>0$.
Let $r \in(-1,0)$. By Lemma 3.5,

$$
\sigma_{1}(t)=\nu t^{-\frac{r}{m}}(1-t), \quad \sigma_{2}(t)=\beta\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m}}
$$

where $0<\nu<\beta$ and $\nu$ is sufficiently small, while $\beta$ is sufficiently large. Then, for $t \in(0,1)$

$$
0<\frac{t^{2 r}}{(1-t)^{2 m}}\left(\frac{a}{\nu^{2 m}}-\frac{b}{\nu^{m}}-c\right) \leq h(t) \leq \frac{t^{2 r}}{(1-t)^{2 m}}\left(\frac{a}{\nu^{2 m}}+\frac{b}{\nu^{m}}+c\right)
$$

Consequently

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow 0+} h(t)=\infty, \quad \limsup _{t \rightarrow 1-} h(t)=\infty
$$

For $p=-2 r$ we obtain $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{p} h(t)<\infty$ and hence A2.3 follows. Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=\nu t^{n-\frac{r}{m}-2}\left(-\frac{r}{m}\left(n-\frac{r}{m}-1\right)-\left(n-\frac{r}{m}\right)\left(1-\frac{r}{m}\right) t\right), t \in(0,1) \\
& \left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}=-\frac{\beta}{m} t^{n}\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 m}-2}\left((n+1)\left(1-t^{2}\right)+2 t^{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{m}\right)\right), t \in(0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we can find $\delta_{1}, \delta_{2} \in(0,1)$ which are sufficiently small to guarantee

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0, \quad\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0, \quad t \in\left(0, \delta_{1}\right) \\
\left(t^{n} \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \geq K, \quad\left(t^{n} \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime} \leq K, \quad t \in\left(1-\delta_{2}, 1\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

where $K=-\nu\left(n-\frac{r}{m}\right)\left(1-\frac{r}{m}\right)$. We can see that (2.3) and (2.4) hold and use Theorem 2.2(ii) to deduce that problem (3.1) has a positive solution $u \in C^{1}(0,1)$ satisfying (2.2). For $r \in(-1,0)$, property (3.8) can be proved in the same way as in Case 1.
Finally we show that if $A=0$ and $a_{1}=0$, then $u^{\prime}(1-)=-\infty$. Since $u(1)=0$, there exists $\xi \in(0,1)$ such that $u^{m}(t) \leq a / 2 b$ for $t \in[\xi, 1]$. Moreover we have

$$
-\int_{\xi}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{u^{2 m}(s)} \leq-\int_{\xi}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{\sigma_{2}^{2 m}(s)} \leq-\frac{1}{2 \beta^{2 m}} \int_{\xi}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{1-s}=\frac{1}{2 \beta^{2 m}} \ln \frac{1-t}{1-\xi}, t \in(\xi, 1)
$$

Therefore, by integrating (3.1a), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)=\xi^{n} u^{\prime}(\xi)+\int_{\xi}^{t} \frac{s^{n}}{u^{2 m}(s)}\left(b u^{m}(s)-a\right) \mathrm{d} s+c \int_{\xi}^{t} s^{n+2 r} \mathrm{~d} s \leq \xi^{n} u^{\prime}(\xi) \\
& +\frac{a}{2} \xi^{n}\left(-\int_{\xi}^{t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{u^{2 m}(s)}\right) \leq \xi^{n} u^{\prime}(\xi)+\frac{a \xi^{n}}{4 \beta^{2 m}} \ln \frac{1-t}{1-\xi}+\frac{c}{n+2 r+1}, t \in(\xi, 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\lim _{t \rightarrow 1-} t^{n} u^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(1-)=-\infty$.
From Theorem 3.6 we are now able to derive the following existence result for problem (1.4).

Theorem 3.7. Problem (1.4) has a positive solution $z$ such that

$$
\begin{cases}\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} z(s)>0, & \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(s)=-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 \gamma}, \quad \gamma \geq \frac{3}{2}  \tag{3.17}\\ \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} z(s) \geq 0, & \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{s^{3}} z^{\prime}(s)=-\infty, \quad \gamma<\frac{3}{2}\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z^{\prime}(1+) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad A>0  \tag{3.18}\\
z^{\prime}(1+) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad A=0, b_{1}>0 \\
z^{\prime}(1+)=\infty, \quad A=0, b_{1}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof. Problem (3.1) with $n=3, a=\frac{1}{8}, b=\mu, c=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}, r=\gamma-2$ has the form (1.2). By Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 there exist lower and upper functions $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ of problem (1.2) satisfying A2.2. By Theorem 3.6, cf. its proof, there is a positive solution $u$ of (1.2) satisfying (2.2), (3.8) and (3.9). Let $r_{2}:=\max \left\{\left|\sigma_{2}(t)\right|: t \in\right.$ $[0,1]\}$ and let $z$ be defined by

$$
z(s):=z\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)=u(t), \quad t \in(0,1] .
$$

Then $0<z(s)<r_{2}$ for $s \in[1, \infty)$ and $z$ is a solution of problem (1.4). Furthermore, we have

$$
-2 \sqrt{s^{3}} z^{\prime}(s)=u^{\prime}(t)
$$

Let $\gamma \geq \frac{3}{2}$. Then, by (3.16),

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} u^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 \gamma} t^{2 \gamma-3},
$$

and

$$
\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(s)=\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{\gamma-\frac{3}{2}}\left(s^{\frac{3}{2}} z^{\prime}(s)\right)=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} t^{3-2 \gamma}\left(-\frac{1}{2} u^{\prime}(t)\right)=-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{8 \gamma} .
$$

Consequently, due to (3.8) and (3.9), $z$ satisfies (3.17) and (3.18).

## 4 Numerical Approach

Here, we first describe how we approximate solutions of two-point boundary value problems for systems of ordinary differential equations of the form,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(t, u^{\prime}(t), u(t)\right)=0, \quad t \in[0,1], \\
& g(u(0), u(1))=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We assume that the analytical solution $u$ is appropriately smooth and attempt to solve this problem numerically using the collocation method implemented in
our Matlab code bvpsuite . It is a new version of the general purpose Matlab code sbvp, cf. [4], [5] and [18], which has already been successfully applied to a variety of problems, see for example [9], [10], [11], [19], and [21]. Collocation is a widely used and well-studied standard solution method for two-point boundary value problems, see for example [23] and the references therein. It also proved robust in case of singular boundary value problems.

The code is designed to solve systems of differential equations of arbitrary order. For simplicity of notation we formulate below a problem whose order varies between four and zero, which means that algebraic constraints which do not involve derivatives are also admitted. Moreover, the problem can be given in a fully implicit form,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(t, u^{(4)}(t), u^{(3)}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t), u^{\prime}(t), u(t)\right)=0, \quad 0<t \leq 1  \tag{4.19a}\\
& b\left(u^{(3)}(0), u^{\prime \prime}(0), u^{\prime}(0), u(0), u^{(3)}(1), u^{\prime \prime}(1), u^{\prime}(1), u(1)\right)=0 \tag{4.19b}
\end{align*}
$$

The program can cope with free parameters, $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}$, which will be computed along with the numerical approximation for $u$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(t, u^{(4)}(t), u^{(3)}(t), u^{\prime \prime}(t), u^{\prime}(t), u(t), \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{k}\right)=0,0<t \leq 1,  \tag{4.20a}\\
& b_{\text {aug }}\left(u^{(3)}(0), u^{\prime \prime}(0), u^{\prime}(0), u(0), u^{(3)}(1), u^{\prime \prime}(1), u^{\prime}(1), u(1)\right)=0 \tag{4.20b}
\end{align*}
$$

provided that the boundary conditions $b_{\text {aug }}$ include $k$ additional requirements to be satisfied by $u$.

The numerical approximation defined by collocation is computed as follows: On a mesh

$$
\Delta:=\left\{\tau_{i}: i=0, \ldots, N\right\}, \quad 0=\tau_{0}<\tau_{1} \cdots<\tau_{N}=1
$$

we approximate the analytical solution by a collocating function,

$$
p(t):=p_{i}(t), \quad t \in\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{i+1}\right], \quad i=0, \ldots, N-1,
$$

where we require $p \in C^{q-1}[0,1]$ in case that the order of the underlying differential equation is $q$. Here $p_{i}$ are polynomials of maximal degree $m-1+q$ which satisfy the system (4.19a) at the collocation points
$\left\{t_{i, j}=\tau_{i}+\rho_{j}\left(\tau_{i+1}-\tau_{i}\right), i=0, \ldots, N-1, j=1, \ldots, m\right\}, \quad 0<\rho_{1}<\cdots<\rho_{m}<1$, and the associated boundary conditions (4.19b). For $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T}$, we have

$$
|y|:=\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|y_{k}\right| .
$$

Let $y \in C[0,1], y:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $t \in[0,1]$,

$$
|y(t)|:=\max _{1 \leq k \leq n}\left|y_{k}(t)\right|
$$

and

$$
\|y\|_{\infty}:=\max _{0 \leq t \leq 1}|y(t)| .
$$

Classical theory, cf. [23], predicts that the convergence order for the global error of the method is at least $O\left(h^{m}\right)$, where $h$ is the maximal stepsize, $h:=$ $\max _{i}\left(\tau_{i+1}-\tau_{i}\right)$. More precisely, for the global error of $p,\|p-u\|_{\infty}=O\left(h^{m}\right)$ holds uniformly in $t$. For certain choices of the collocation points the so-called superconvergence order can be observed. In case of the Gaussian points this means that the approximation is exceptionally precise at the meshpoints $\tau_{i}$, $\max _{\tau_{i} \in \Delta}\left|p\left(\tau_{i}\right)-u\left(\tau_{i}\right)\right|_{\infty}=O\left(h^{2 m}\right)$.

To make the computations more efficient, an adaptive mesh selection strategy based on an a posteriori estimate for the global error of the collocation solution may be utilized. We use a classical error estimate based on mesh halving. In this approach, we compute the collocation solution $p_{\Delta}(t)$ on a mesh $\Delta$. Subsequently, we choose a second mesh $\Delta_{2}$ where in every interval $\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{i+1}\right]$ of $\Delta$ we insert two subintervals of equal length. On this new mesh, we compute the numerical solution based on the same collocation scheme to obtain the collocating function $p_{\Delta_{2}}(t)$. Using these two quantities, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(t):=\frac{2^{m}}{1-2^{m}}\left(p_{\Delta_{2}}(t)-p_{\Delta}(t)\right) \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

as an error estimate for the approximation $p_{\Delta}(t)$. Assume that the global error $\delta(t):=p_{\Delta}(t)-u(t)$ of the collocation solution can be expressed in terms of the principal error function $e(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(t)=e(t)\left|\tau_{i+1}-\tau_{i}\right|^{m}+O\left(\left|\tau_{i+1}-\tau_{i}\right|^{m+1}\right), \quad t \in\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{i+1}\right], \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e(t)$ is independent of $\Delta$. Then obviously, the quantity $\mathcal{E}(t)$ satisfies $\mathcal{E}(t)-\delta(t)=O\left(h^{m+1}\right)$ and the error estimate is asymptotically correct. Our mesh adaptation is based on the equidistribution of the global error of the numerical solution. Thus, we define a monitor function $\Theta(t):=\sqrt[m]{\mathcal{E}(t)} / h(t)$, where $h(t):=\left|\tau_{i+1}-\tau_{i}\right|$ for $t \in\left[\tau_{i}, \tau_{i+1}\right]$. Now, the mesh selection strategy aims at the equidistribution of

$$
\int_{\tilde{\tau}_{i}}^{\tilde{\tau}_{i+1}} \Theta(s) d s
$$

on the mesh consisting of the points $\tilde{\tau}_{i}$ to be determined accordingly, where at the same time measures are taken to ensure that the variation of the stepsizes is restricted and tolerance requirements are satisfied with small computational effort. Details of the mesh selection algorithm and a proof of the fact that our strategy implies that the global error of the numerical solution is asymptotically equidistributed are given in [7].

We now discuss the numerical solution of problem (3.1) whose analytical properties are formulated in Theorem 3.6. For the numerical experiments we specify the following parameter setting:

$$
n=3, \quad m=1, \quad a=\frac{1}{8}, \quad b=\mu=0, \quad c=\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2}=\frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda=1, \quad r=\gamma-2
$$

see Theorem 3.6. In order to be able to formulate the first boundary condition in (3.1b), we introduce a new variable $v(t):=t^{3} u^{\prime}(t)$ and transform the scalar boundary value problem (3.1) to an associated boundary value problem for system of two implicit differential equations of first order,

$$
\begin{align*}
& v^{\prime}(t)+t^{3}\left(\frac{1}{8 u^{2}(t)}-\frac{\mu}{u(t)}-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} t^{2 \gamma-4}\right)=0  \tag{4.23a}\\
& v(t)-t^{3} u^{\prime}(t)=0  \tag{4.23b}\\
& v(0)=0, \quad a_{0} u(1)+\frac{1}{2} a_{1} u^{\prime}(1)=A \tag{4.23c}
\end{align*}
$$

with $t \in[0,1]$. For the numerical simulation problem (4.23) has been rearranged to

$$
\begin{align*}
& v^{\prime}(t) u^{2}(t)+t^{3}\left(\frac{1}{8}-\mu u(t)-\frac{\lambda^{2} u^{2}(t)}{2} t^{2 \gamma-4}\right)=0  \tag{4.24a}\\
& v(t)-t^{3} u^{\prime}(t)=0  \tag{4.24b}\\
& v(0)=0, \quad a_{0} u(1)+\frac{1}{2} a_{1} v(1)=A \tag{4.24c}
\end{align*}
$$

### 4.1 Numerical Results

In this section, we illustrate the theoretical findings of Theorem 3.6 by corresponding numerical experiments which have been carried out using collocation at 4 Gaussian collocation points. The numerical solution has been calculated on a fixed equidistant mesh with 1000 points. These rather dense grids were necessary for a good visualization of approximations when transforming them from the standard interval $[0,1]$ back to the infinite interval $[1, \infty)$. The error estimate and the residual were also recorded as indicators for the accuracy of the numerical solution. The error estimate was computed from (4.21) by coupling solutions related to meshes with 1000 and 2000 meshpoints. The residual was obtained by substituting the numerical solution $p$ into the system of differential equations (4.24a), (4.24b).

First, we set $a_{0}=1, a_{1}=0$ and $A=1$. According to Theorem 3.6 this means that $u^{\prime}(1-) \in \mathbb{R}$. Corresponding numerical results for two different values of $\gamma$, both covering the case $r>-\frac{1}{2}$, can be found in Figures 1 and 2.


Figure 1: Problem (4.24), $\gamma=2.5$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual


Figure 2: Problem (4.24), $\gamma=2$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual

In both figures $u(0)>0$ and $u^{\prime}(0+)=0$, as it was predicted by the Theorem 3.6. Moreover, both error estimate and the residual are very small indicating an excellent accuracy of the approximation. In Figure 3 the results for $r=-\frac{1}{2}$ are depicted. Again, $u(0)>0$ is clearly visible. Here, we have $n=3, c=\frac{1}{2}$ and therefore, $u^{\prime}(0+)=\frac{c}{n} \approx 0.167$ which is in a good agreement with Theorem 3.6.


Figure 3: Problem (4.24), $\gamma=1.5$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual.

Finally, Figures 4 and 5 show the last case $r<-\frac{1}{2}$.


Figure 4: Problem (4.24), $\gamma=1.3$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual


Figure 5: Problem (4.24), $\gamma=1.2$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual

For both settings, $u(0) \geq 0$ and $u^{\prime}(0+)=\infty$.
We now set $A=0$ and leave all other parameters unchanged. According to the Theorem 3.6 this results in $u^{\prime}(1-)=-\infty$. Figures 6 to 10 show the corresponding numerical runs for $\gamma=2.5,2,1.5,1.3,1.2$, respectively.


Figure 6: Problem (4.24), $A=0, \gamma=2.5$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual


Figure 7: Problem (4.24), $A=0, \gamma=2$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual


Figure 8: Problem (4.24), $A=0, \gamma=1.5$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual

Again, $u^{\prime}(0+) \approx 0.167$.


Figure 9: Problem (4.24), $A=0, \gamma=1.3$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual


Figure 10: Problem (4.24), $A=0, \gamma=1.2$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual

The last setting discussed in Theorem 3.6 is $A=0$ and $a_{1}>0$. We use $a_{1}=2$, all other parameters remain unchanged, see Figures 11 to 15 for the numerical simulations corresponding to the above values of $\gamma$.


Figure 11: Problem (4.24), $A=0, a_{1}>0, \gamma=2.5$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual


Figure 12: Problem (4.24), $A=0, a_{1}>0, \gamma=2$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual


Figure 13: Problem (4.24), $A=0, a_{1}>0, \gamma=1.5$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual


Figure 14: Problem (4.24), $A=0, a_{1}>0, \gamma=1.3$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual


Figure 15: Problem (4.24), $A=0, a_{1}>0, \gamma=1.2$ : The numerical approximation for the solution component $u(t)$, the error estimate and the residual

All numerical results show a good agreement with Theorem 3.6. Both, the error estimates ${ }^{2}$ and the residuals show that the solutions accuracy is excellent. To visualize solutions of problem (1.4) posed on the semi-infinite interval, we have to transform the numerical solution obtained on $[0,1]$ back to the original interval

[^2]$[1, \infty)$. To this end we use
$$
z(s):=z\left(\frac{1}{t^{2}}\right)=u(t), \quad s \in[1, \infty), \quad t \in(0,1]
$$
to obtain the values for $z(s)$.
We again discuss three different settings, where for all experiments $b_{0}=a_{0}=1$. For $A=1$ and $b_{1}=\frac{a_{1}}{2}=0$, Figure 16 shows the numerical solution of (1.4) displayed on a short and a long interval.


Figure 16: Problem (1.4), $A=1, b_{1}=0$ : Solution $z(s)$ on the interval $[1,10]$ (above) and interval [1,1000] (below) for values of $\gamma=2.5, \gamma=1.5$ and $\gamma=1.3$ (from left to right)

For a better illustration of the solution behavior for $\gamma=2.5$ displayed on the long interval in Figure 16, we depict this solution in Figure 17 on three further intervals of smaller length, see also Figure 1.


Figure 17: Problem (1.4), $A=1, b_{1}=0, \gamma=2.5$ : Solution $z(s)$ on the intervals [1, 20], $[1,50]$, and $[1,100]$ (from left to right)

For $\gamma \geq \frac{3}{2}, z^{\prime}(1+) \in \mathbb{R}$ holds and we know that the solution of (4.24) is positive with $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} z(s)>0$. Also, for $\lambda=1, \lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(s)=-\frac{1}{8 \gamma}$. In principle, we should be able to verify this latter limit using the values of the numerical solution in the meshpoints approaching zero and the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(s)=-v(t) /\left(2 t^{2 \gamma}\right)=: w(t), \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf. (4.24b). For $\gamma=1.5$ (and $\gamma=1.6$ ) we have plotted $w(t)$ using its values at the meshpoints and found out that $w(0)-\left(-\frac{1}{8 \gamma}\right) \approx 10^{-5}$.
In Figure 18 the numerical solution of (1.4) for $A=0$ and $b_{1}=0$ are reported.


Figure 18: Problem (1.4), $A=0, b_{1}=0$ : Solution $z(s)$ on the interval $[1,10]$ (above) and interval [1, 1000] (below) for values of $\gamma=2.5, \gamma=1.5$ and $\gamma=1.3$ (from left to right)

Here, as expected, $z^{\prime}(1+)=\infty$ holds for all values of $\gamma$. Also, $z(s) \geq 0$.
Finally, we consider $A=0$ and $b_{1}=1$. The numerical results for this setting and the above five values of $\gamma$ are given in Figure 19. With $z^{\prime}(1+) \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} s^{\gamma} z^{\prime}(s) \approx-\frac{1}{8 \gamma}$ for $\gamma=1.5$, and $\lim _{s \rightarrow \infty} z(s) \geq 0$ the numerical solution again very well reflects the properties of the analytical solution.


Figure 19: Problem (1.4), $A=0, b_{1}=1$ : Solution $z(s)$ on the interval $[1,10]$ (above) and interval [1, 1000] (below) for values of $\gamma=2.5, \gamma=1.5$ and $\gamma=1.3$ (from left to right)
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