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## 1. NOTATION

$[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} ; J \subset \mathbb{R} ; \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} ;$ meas $J$ - the Lebesgue measure of $J$;
$C[a, b]$ - the Banach space of functions continuous on interval $[a, b]$ with the norm $\|f\|_{C[a, b]}=$ $\max \{|f(t)|: t \in[a, b]\}$;
$C^{1}[a, b]$ - the Banach space of functions having continuous first derivatives on $[a, b]$ with the norm $\|f\|_{C^{1}[a, b]}=\|f\|_{C[a, b]}+\left\|f^{\prime}\right\|_{C[a, b]}$;
$A C[a, b]$ - the set of absolutely continuous functions on $[a, b]$;
$A C_{l o c}(J)$ - the set of functions $f \in A C[c, d]$ for each $[c, d] \subset J$;
$L[a, b]$ - the Banach space of functions Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$ with the norm $\|f\|_{L[a, b]}=$ $\int_{a}^{b}|f(t)| \mathrm{d} t ;$
$L_{l o c}(J)$ - the set of functions $f \in L[c, d]$ for each $[c, d] \subset J ;$
$\operatorname{Car}([a, b] \times \mathcal{M})$ - the set of functions $f:[a, b] \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the Carathéodory conditions on $[a, b] \times \mathcal{M}$, i.e.
$f(\cdot, x, y):[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}$;
$f(t, \cdot, \cdot): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in[a, b] ;$
for each compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}$ there is a function $m_{\mathcal{K}} \in L[a, b]$ such that

$$
|f(t, x, y)| \leq m_{\mathcal{K}}(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b] \text { and all }(x, y) \in \mathcal{K}
$$

$\operatorname{Car}((a, b) \times \mathcal{M})$ - the set of function $f \in \operatorname{Car}([c, d] \times \mathcal{M})$ for each $[c, d] \subset(a, b)$.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

We will study the existence of a solution of singular Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is an increasing odd homeomorphism with $\phi(\mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}, T \in(0, \infty)$ and where $f$ can have singularities in all its variables.

In particular, we assume that $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}$ are closed intervals containing 0 and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f \in \operatorname{Car}((0, T) \times \mathcal{D}), \text { where } \mathcal{D}=\left(\mathcal{A}_{1} \backslash\{0\}\right) \times\left(\mathcal{A}_{2} \backslash\{0\}\right),  \tag{2.2}\\
f \text { may have time singularities at } t=0 \text { and at } t=T, \\
f \text { may have space singularities at } x=0 \text { and at } y=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 2.1. A function $f$ has a time singularity at $t=0$ resp. $t=T$ if there exists $(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}|f(t, x, y)| \mathrm{d} t=\infty \operatorname{resp} . \int_{T-\varepsilon}^{T}|f(t, x, y)| \mathrm{d} t=\infty
$$

for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$.
Definition 2.2. A function $f$ has a space singularity at $x=0$ resp. $y=0$ if there exists a set $J \subset[0, T]$ with a positive Lebesgue measure such that the condition

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow 0}|f(t, x, y)|=\infty \text { resp. } \limsup _{y \rightarrow 0}|f(t, x, y)|=\infty
$$

holds for a.e. $t \in J$ and some $y \in \mathcal{A}_{2}$ resp. $x \in \mathcal{A}_{1}$.

Definition 2.3. A function $u \in C^{1}[0, T]$ with $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0, T]$ is a solution of problem (2.1) if $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and fulfils the boundary conditions $u(0)=u(T)=0$.
Now we bring out the definition of upper and lower function and auxiliary theorems, which we will use in proofs.
Definition 2.4. A function $\sigma \in C[0, T]$ is called an upper function of problem (2.1) if there exists a finite set $\Sigma \subset(0, T)$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\phi\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \in A C_{l o c}([0, T] \backslash \Sigma), \quad \sigma^{\prime}(\tau+):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \tau+} \sigma^{\prime}(t) \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\sigma^{\prime}(\tau-):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \tau-} \sigma^{\prime}(t) \in \mathbb{R} \text { for each } \tau \in \Sigma, \\
\left(\phi\left(\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+g\left(t, \sigma(t), \sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) \leq 0 \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \\
\sigma(0) \geq 0, \quad \sigma(T) \geq 0, \quad \sigma^{\prime}(\tau-)>\sigma^{\prime}(\tau+) \text { for each } \tau \in \Sigma \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the inequalities in (2.4) are reversed, then $\sigma$ is called a lower function of problem (2.1).
Theorem 2.5 (Lower and upper functions method, [20]). Consider a problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g \in \operatorname{Car}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Let $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ be a lower function and an upper function of problem (2.5) and $\sigma_{1}(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t)$ for $t \in[0, T]$. Assume that there exists a function $m \in L[0, T]$ such that

$$
|g(t, x, y)| \leq m(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \text { and all } x \in\left[\sigma_{1}(t), \sigma_{2}(t)\right], y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then problem (2.5) has a solution $u$ such that

$$
\sigma_{1}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t) \text { for } t \in[0, T]
$$

A systematic study of the solvability of Dirichlet problems having both time and space singularities was initiated by Taliaferro [25]. Now, we can find a large group of works which focused their attention on the existence of $w$-solutions, that is on the existence of functions $u$ satisfying (2.3) and $u(0)=u(T)=0$ but do not belonging to $C^{1}[0, T]$. We can refer to the papers [1]-[4], [10]-[16]. There exists a less number of works which provide also conditions for the existence of solutions in the sense of Definition 2.3, e.g. [5], [7], [9], [17]-[22], [25]-[27]. All the above works deal with differential equations where the nonlinearity $f(t, x, y)$ has a space singularity at $x=0$ and/or time singularities at $t=0, t=T$. The first existence result for the Dirichlet problem where $f(t, x, y)$ has singularities at both variables $x$ and $y$ was reached by Staněk [21]. He assumed that $f$ is strictly positive and its behaviour on a right neighbourhood of the singular point $x=0$ is controlled by a function $\omega_{0}(x)$ which is integrable. Then we say that $f$ has a weak space singularity at $x=0$.

In this paper we generalize and extend the existence results for the Dirichlet problem (2.1), which has been studied in the papers [1], [10], [19], [20] and [22]. Our methods of proofs are similar to those in [19] and [20]. In [19] we study the Dirichlet problem without $\phi$-Laplacian. The function $f(t, x, y)$ can have a strong or weak singularity in $x$ and a weak singularity in $y$. Note that $f$ has a strong space singularity at $x=0$ if it is controlled near the point $x=0$ by a nonintegrable function $\omega_{0}(x)$. Similarly for $y=0$. Moreover $f$ can have a sublinear growth in $x, y$ or a linear growth with small coefficients. In [20] we have the Dirichlet problem with $\phi$-Laplacian, with singularities in $t$, $x$ (weak or strong) and in $y$ (only weak). The function $f$ can have a quadratic growth in variable $y$ and an arbitrary growth in $x$.

In this paper we also solve the Dirichlet problem with $\phi$-Laplacian. We modify an existence principle of [20]. By means of this modified principle (Theorem 3.1) we prove Theorem 4.1 which yields the existence of a solution of (2.1) with $f(t, x, y)$, which can have time singularities in $t=0$ and $t=T$ and weak or strong singularities in $x$ and $y$. In addition, the function $f$ can have an arbitrary growth in $x$ and $y$.

Let us add some other recent results for singular Dirichlet problems. Extremal solutions for the equation $u^{\prime \prime}=p(t)\left(f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)-r(t)\right)$ have been investigated in [23]. Variational methods leadinag to the existence of one or two positive solutions of problems with the equation $-u^{\prime \prime}=\lambda f(t, u)$ have been used in [24] and for $\lambda=1$ in [6]. By means of the fixed point theorem on cones the paper [8] has got multiplicity results for problems with the equation $u^{\prime \prime}+q(t) f(t, u)+e(t)=0$. Note that conditions which guarantee the existence of infinitely many solutions can be found in [24].

## 3. EXISTENCE PRINCIPLE

We define a sequence of auxiliary regular problems:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f_{n}\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\{e q 4\}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{n}(t, x, y)=f(t, x, y) \text { for a.e. } t \in \Delta_{n} \text { and each }(x, y) \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}, \\
|x| \geq \varepsilon_{n},|y| \geq \eta_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}, \text { where } \Delta_{n}=\left[\frac{1}{n}, T-\frac{1}{n}\right] \cap[0, T] \\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}=0, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{n}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

2. there exists a bounded set $\Omega \subset C^{1}[0, T]$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, problem (3.1) has a solution $u_{n} \in \Omega$ and $\left(u_{n}(t), u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}$ for $t \in[0, T]$.
Then there exist $u \in C[0, T]$ and a subsequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}(t)=u(t) \text { uniformly on }[0, T] \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume in addition that
3. there exists a finite set $S=\left\{s_{1}, \cdots s_{\zeta}\right\} \subset(0, T)$ such that on each interval $[a, b] \subset(0, T) \backslash S$ the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is equicontinuous.
Then $u \in C^{1}((0, T) \backslash S)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t) \text { locally uniformly on }(0, T) \backslash S \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume moreover that
4. the set $S$ has the form $S=\left\{s \in(0, T): u(s)=0\right.$ or $u^{\prime}(s)=0$ or $u^{\prime}(s)$ does not exist $\}$;
5. there exist $\eta \in\left(0, \frac{T}{2}\right), \lambda_{0}, \mu_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{\zeta+1}, \mu_{\zeta+1} \in\{-1,1\}, k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi \in L[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\lambda_{i} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq \psi(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(s_{i}-\eta, s_{i}\right) \cap(0, T), \\
\mu_{i} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq \psi(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(s_{i}, s_{i}+\eta\right) \cap(0, T), \\
\text { for all } i \in\{0, \cdots, \zeta+1\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \geq k_{0}
\end{array}
$$

Here $s_{0}=0$ and $s_{\zeta+1}=T$.
Then $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0, T]$ and $u$ is a solution of (2.1) satisfying $\left(u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}$ for $t \in[0, T]$.

Proof. By assumption 2, there exists $r>0$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of solutions of (3.1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{C^{1}[0, T]} \leq r \text { for each } n \in \mathbb{N} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $C[0, T]$. Moreover, the Lagrange mean value theorem yields that the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is equicontinuous on $[0, T]$. By the Arzelà - Ascoli theorem we can choose a subsequence $\left\{u_{\ell}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\ell \rightarrow \infty} u_{\ell}(t)=u(t) \text { uniformly on }[0, T], \quad u \in C[0, T] . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose an arbitrary interval $[a, b] \subset[0, T] \backslash S$. Then, by assumption 3 , the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(u_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is equicontinuous on $[a, b]$. By (3.5) the sequence $\left\{u_{\ell}^{\prime}\right\}$ is bounded in $C[a, b]$. Since $\phi$ is homeomorphism, the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(u_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $C[a, b]$ too. The Arzelà - Ascoli theorem guarantees that we can choose a subsequence $\left\{\phi\left(u_{k}\right)\right\} \subset\left\{\phi\left(u_{\ell}\right\}\right)$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)=\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { uniformly on }[a, b]
$$

and consequently we get

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t) \text { uniformly on }[a, b] .
$$

By virtue of (3.6) the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ satisfies (3.2). Using the diagonalization method we can choose such sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t) \text { locally uniformly on }(0, T) \backslash S \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds, as well. Therefore $u \in C^{1}((0, T) \backslash S)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ it holds $u_{k}(0)=u_{k}(T)=0$ and, by (3.2), $u$ satisfies $u(0)=u(T)=0$.

Define sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
V=\{t \in(0, T): f(t, \cdot \cdot \cdot): \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { is not continuous }\} \\
U=(0, T) \backslash(S \cup V)
\end{gathered}
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{meas}(S \cup V)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose an arbitrary $t \in U$. Then there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq k_{0}$ :

$$
t \in \Delta_{k}, \quad\left|u_{k}(t)\right|>\varepsilon_{k}, \quad\left|u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|>\eta_{k}
$$

By assumption 1,

$$
f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)=f\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { for a.e. } t \in \Delta_{k}
$$

Therefore by (3.2), (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { a.e. on }[0, T] . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{k}$ is a solution of (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

\{e310\}
Now choose an arbitrary interval $[a, b] \subset(0, T) \backslash S$ and integrate equation (3.10). We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}(a)\right)=\int_{a}^{t} f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \text { for each } t \in[a, b] \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover there exists $k^{*} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq k^{*}$

$$
\left|f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq m(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b]
$$

where

$$
m(t)=\sup \left\{|f(t, x, y)|: \varepsilon_{k^{*}} \leq|x| \leq r ; \eta_{k^{*}} \leq|y| \leq r ; x \in \mathcal{A}_{1} ; y \in \mathcal{A}_{2}\right\} \in L[a, b]
$$

Since $m \in L[a, b]$ we can apply the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem on $[a, b]$ and get $f\left(\cdot, u(\cdot), u^{\prime}(\cdot)\right) \in L[a, b]$. Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

\{lim6\}

It holds by (3.2), (3.7), (3.11) and (3.12)

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\phi\left(u^{\prime}(a)\right)=\int_{a}^{t} f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \text { for each } t \in[a, b] \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $[a, b]$ is an arbitrary interval in $(0, T) \backslash S$, we get that $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C_{l o c}((0, T) \backslash S)$, $u$ satisfies (2.3) and the boundary conditions $u(0)=u(T)=0$.

It remains to prove that $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0, T]$. Choose $i \in\{0, \cdots, \zeta+1\}$ and denote $\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right)=$ $\left(s_{i}-\eta, s_{i}\right) \cap(0, T)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a.e. $t \in\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right)$ we denote

$$
h_{k}(t)=\lambda_{i} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)+|\psi(t)|, \quad h(t)=\lambda_{i} f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)+|\psi(t)| .
$$

Then $h_{k} \in L\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ and according to (3.9) we have

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{k}(t)=h(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right] .
$$

Integrating (3.10) on $\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ we get

$$
-\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)+\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}\left(c_{i}\right)\right)=\int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}} f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Therefore, by (3.4) and (3.5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}}\left|h_{k}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s=\int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}} h_{k}(s) \mathrm{d} s=\lambda_{i} \int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}} f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
+ & \int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}}|\psi(s)| \mathrm{d} s \leq\left|\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}\left(d_{i}\right)\right)\right|+\left|\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}\left(c_{i}\right)\right)\right|+\int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}}|\psi(s)| \mathrm{d} s \leq c
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=2 \phi(r)+\|\psi\|_{L[0, T]}$. The Fatou lemma implies that $h \in L\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ and $f\left(\cdot, u(\cdot), u^{\prime}(\cdot)\right) \in$ $L\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$. If $\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right)=\left(s_{i}, s_{i}+\eta\right) \cap(0, T)$ we argue similarly. Hence $f\left(\cdot, u(\cdot), u^{\prime}(\cdot)\right) \in L[0, T]$ and the equality in (3.13) is fulfilled for each $t \in[0, T]$ and $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0, T]$. Consequently $u^{\prime} \in C[0, T]$. We have proved that $u$ is a solution of (2.1). According to assumption 2 and (3.2), (3.3), we get $\left(u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}$ for $t \in[0, T]$.

## 4. EXISTENCE THEOREM

Theorem 4.1 (Existence theorem). Let $\nu \in\left(0, \frac{T}{2}\right), \varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\phi(\nu)}{\nu}\right), c_{1}, c_{2} \in(\nu, \infty)$. Let assumption (2.2) hold with $\mathcal{A}_{1}=[0, \infty), \mathcal{A}_{2}=\left[-c_{1}, c_{2}\right]$. Denote $\sigma_{2}(t)=\min \left\{c_{2} t ; c_{1}(T-t)\right\}$ for $t \in[0, T]$ and assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq f(t, x, y) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \forall x \in\left(0, \sigma_{2}(t)\right], y \in\left[-c_{1}, c_{2}\right] \backslash\{0\},  \tag{4.2}\\
\varepsilon \leq f(t, x, y) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \forall x \in\left(0, \sigma_{2}(t)\right], y \in[-\nu, \nu] \backslash\{0\} . \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then problem (2.1) has solution $u$ which fulfils

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<u(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t) ; \quad-c_{1} \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq c_{2} \text { for } t \in(0, T) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Step 1. Construction of an auxiliary problem.
Let $n \in \mathbb{N}, \frac{1}{n}<\nu, n>\frac{2}{T}$. Choose $\sigma_{1}(t) \equiv 0$ on $[0, T]$. Put $\varepsilon_{n}=\min \left\{\sigma_{2}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) ; \sigma_{2}\left(T-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right\}$, $\eta_{n}=\frac{1}{n}$. For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\alpha_{n}(x)= \begin{cases}x & \text { for } \varepsilon_{n} \leq x, \\
\varepsilon_{n} & \text { for } x<\varepsilon_{n},\end{cases} \\
\beta(y)= \begin{cases}c_{2} & \text { for } y>c_{2}, \\
y & \text { for }-c_{1} \leq y \leq c_{2}, \\
-c_{1} & \text { for } y<-c_{1},\end{cases} \\
\gamma(y)= \begin{cases}\varepsilon & \text { for }|y| \leq \nu, \\
0 & \text { for } y \leq-c_{1} \text { or } y \geq c_{2}, \\
\varepsilon \frac{c_{2}-y}{c_{2}-\nu} & \text { for } \nu<y<c_{2}, \\
\varepsilon \frac{c_{1}+y}{c_{1}-\nu} & \text { for }-c_{1}<y<-\nu .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

For a.e. $t \in[0, T], \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ we define auxiliary functions

$$
\begin{gathered}
\widetilde{f_{n}}(t, x, y)= \begin{cases}\gamma(y) & \text { for } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cap\left(T-\frac{1}{n}, T\right], \\
f\left(t, \alpha_{n}(x), \beta(y)\right) & \text { for } t \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, T-\frac{1}{n}\right],\end{cases} \\
f_{n}(t, x, y)= \begin{cases}\widetilde{f_{n}}(t, x, y) & \text { for }|y| \geq \frac{1}{n}, \\
\frac{n}{2}\left(\widetilde{f_{n}}\left(t, x, \frac{1}{n}\right)\left(y+\frac{1}{n}\right)-\widetilde{f_{n}}\left(t, x,-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(y-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right) & \text { for }|y|<\frac{1}{n} .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

Function $f \in \operatorname{Car}((0, T) \times \mathcal{D})$ and so $f_{n} \in \operatorname{Car}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. We get a sequence of auxiliary problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f_{n}\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n \in \mathbb{N}, n>\frac{2}{T}$.
Step 2. Existence of a solution of problem (4.5).
We define

$$
m_{n}(t)=\sup \left\{f_{n}(t, x, y): x \in\left[0, \sigma_{2}(t)\right] ; y \in \mathbb{R}\right\} \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Then $m_{n} \in L[0, T]$ and $\left|f_{n}(t, x, y)\right| \leq m_{n}(t)$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T], \forall x \in\left[0, \sigma_{2}(t)\right], \forall y \in \mathbb{R}$.
In order to use Theorem 2.5, we must prove that $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}$ are lower and upper functions of problem (4.5). We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f_{n}\left(t, \sigma_{1}(t), \sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)=f_{n}(t, 0,0) \\
=\frac{n}{2}\left[\widetilde{f_{n}}\left(t, 0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n}-\widetilde{f_{n}}\left(t, 0,-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right] \\
\quad=\frac{1}{2}\left[\widetilde{f_{n}}\left(t, 0, \frac{1}{n}\right)+\widetilde{f_{n}}\left(t, 0,-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right]
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
= \begin{cases}\varepsilon>0 & \text { for } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cup\left(T-\frac{1}{n}, T\right] \\ \frac{1}{2}\left[f\left(t, \varepsilon_{n}, \frac{1}{n}\right)+f\left(t, \varepsilon_{n},-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right] \geq 0 & \text { for a.e. } t \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, T-\frac{1}{n}\right]\end{cases}
$$

and so $\sigma_{1} \equiv 0$ is a lower function of problem (4.5). Further $\alpha_{n}\left(\sigma_{2}(t)\right)=\sigma_{2}(t)$ for $t \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, T-\frac{1}{n}\right]$. Since $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)=-c_{1}$ or $c_{2}$, we have $\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=0$ on $[0, T]$ and, by (4.1),

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f_{n}\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)=f_{n}\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right) \\
= \begin{cases}\gamma\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 & \text { for } t \in\left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cup\left(T-\frac{1}{n}, T\right], \\
f\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)=0 & \text { for a.e. } t \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, T-\frac{1}{n}\right] .\end{cases}
\end{gathered}
$$

We see that $\sigma_{2}(t)$ is an upper function of problem (4.5). Functions $f_{n}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, m_{n}$ satisfy assumptions of Theorem 2.5 and so there exists a solution $u_{n}$ of problem (4.5) satisfying $0 \leq u_{n}(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t)$ for $t \in[0, T]$.

Step 3. Estimates of a solution of problem (4.5).
By (4.2) and the construction of $f_{n}$ we get $\left(\phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \leq 0$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and so $\phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is nonincreasing. Since $\phi$ is increasing homeomorphism, the function $u_{n}^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing. Therefore $u_{n}^{\prime}(0) \leq c_{2}$ implies $u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq c_{2}$ for $t \in[0, T]$. Further $u_{n}^{\prime}(T) \geq-c_{1}$ and we get $u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \geq-c_{1}$ on $[0, T]$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
-c_{1} \leq u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq c_{2} \text { for } t \in[0, T] \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t_{n} \in(0, T)$ be a point of maximum of $u_{n}$. Then $u_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)=0$ and $u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \geq 0$ for $t \in\left[0, t_{n}\right]$, $u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \in\left[t_{n}, T\right]$.

1. Let $t_{n}-\nu \geq 0$. Then there exists $a_{n} \in\left[0, t_{n}\right)$ such that $u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq \nu$ for $t \in\left[a_{n}, t_{n}\right]$. Assuming $a_{n} \leq t_{n}-\nu$ and integrating (4.3), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon\left(t_{n}-t\right) \leq \phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { for } t \in\left[t_{n}-\nu, t_{n}\right] . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $a_{n}>t_{n}-\nu$ and $u_{n}^{\prime}(t)>\nu$ for $t \in\left[0, a_{n}\right)$, then similarly

$$
\varepsilon\left(t_{n}-t\right) \leq \phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { for } t \in\left[a_{n}, t_{n}\right]
$$

Since $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\phi(\nu)}{\nu}\right)$, the inequalities $\phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)>\phi(\nu)>\varepsilon \nu \geq \varepsilon\left(t_{n}-t\right)$ hold for $t \in\left[t_{n}-\nu, a_{n}\right]$, and we get estimate (4.7) again. Integration of (4.7) over $\left[t_{n}-\nu, t_{n}\right]$ yields the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}\left(t_{n}\right) \geq \int_{0}^{\nu} \phi^{-1}(\varepsilon s) \mathrm{d} s=\nu_{0}>0 \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$
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2. Let $t_{n}-\nu \leq 0$. Then $t_{n}+\nu \leq T$ and there exists $b_{n} \in\left(t_{n}, T\right]$ such that $-u_{n}^{\prime}(t) \leq \nu$ for $t \in\left[t_{n}, b_{n}\right]$. Assuming $b_{n} \geq t_{n}+\nu$ and integrating (4.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon\left(t-t_{n}\right) \leq-\phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { for } t \in\left[t_{n}, t_{n}+\nu\right] \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b_{n}<t_{n}+\nu$ and $-u_{n}^{\prime}(t)>\nu$ for $t \in\left(b_{n}, T\right]$, then similarly

$$
\varepsilon\left(t-t_{n}\right) \leq-\phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { for } t \in\left[t_{n}, b_{n}\right]
$$

Since $-\phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right)>\phi(\nu)>\varepsilon \nu \geq \varepsilon\left(t-t_{n}\right)$ for $t \in\left[b_{n}, t_{n}+\nu\right]$, we get inequality (4.9) again. Integration of (4.9) over $\left[t_{n}, t_{n}+\nu\right]$ yields estimate (4.8).

Using this estimate and the fact that $u_{n}^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing on $[0, T]$ we conclude that

$$
\alpha_{n}^{*}(t) \leq u_{n}(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t) \text { for } t \in[0, T]
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{n}^{*}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{\nu_{0}}{T} t & \text { for } t \in\left[0, t_{n}\right], \\ \frac{\nu_{0}}{T}(T-t) & \text { for } t \in\left(t_{n}, T\right] .\end{cases}
$$

Step 4. Existence of a solution of singular problem (2.1).
Consider the sequence of solutions $\left\{u_{n}\right\}, n>\frac{2}{T}$. Define

$$
\Omega=\left\{v \in C^{1}[0, T]: 0 \leq v(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t) ;-c_{1} \leq v^{\prime}(t) \leq c_{2} \text { on }[0, T]\right\} .
$$

We see that $\varepsilon_{n}, \eta_{n}$ and $f_{n}$ fulfil condition 1 of Theorem 3.1. Since also condition 2 of Theorem 3.1 is valid, we can choose a subsequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ which is uniformly converging on $[0, T]$ to a function $u \in C[0, T]$. By estimates (4.6) and (4.8) we get

$$
0<\frac{\nu_{0}}{c_{2}} \leq t_{n}, \quad t_{n} \leq T-\frac{\nu_{0}}{c_{1}}<T \text { for } n \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

So, we can choose a subsequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ in such way that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} t_{k}=t_{u} \in(0, T)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{u}^{*}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t) \text { for } t \in[0, T], \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\alpha_{u}^{*}(t)= \begin{cases}\frac{\nu_{0}}{T} t & \text { for } t \in\left[0, t_{u}\right], \\ \frac{\nu_{0}}{T}(T-t) & \text { for } t \in\left(t_{u}, T\right] .\end{cases}
$$

Put $S=\left\{t_{u}\right\}$ and choose $[a, b] \subset\left(0, t_{u}\right)$. Then there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq k_{0}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|t_{k}-t_{u}\right| \leq \frac{t_{u}-b}{2}, \quad[a, b] \subset\left(\frac{1}{k}, t_{k}\right), \\
u_{k}(t) \geq \frac{\nu_{0} a}{t}=: m_{0}, \quad \phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\left(t_{u}-b\right)=: m_{1}, \quad t \in[a, b] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus, for a.e. $t \in[a, b]$

$$
\left|f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq h(t) \in L[a, b],
$$

where $h(t)=\sup \left\{|f(t, x, y)|: m_{0} \leq x \leq \sigma_{2}(t) ; \quad \phi^{-1}\left(m_{1}\right) \leq y \leq c_{2}\right\}$. If we choose $[a, b] \subset\left(t_{u}, T\right)$, we argue similarly and obtain also a Lebesgue integrable majorant for $f_{k}, k \geq k_{0}$, on $[a, b]$. So, we have proved that condition 3 of Theorem 3.1 holds. Hence, we get $u \in C^{1}((0, T) \backslash S)$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t)$ locally uniformly on $(0, T) \backslash S$.

Since $u_{k}^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing on $[0, T]$ for $k \geq k_{0}, u^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing on $\left(0, t_{u}\right)$ and on $\left(t_{u}, T\right)$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{cases}0 \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq c_{2} & \text { for } t \in\left[0, t_{u}\right),  \tag{4.11}\\ -c_{1} \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq 0 & \text { for } t \in\left(t_{u}, T\right],\end{cases}
$$

\{e411\}
and the limits $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{u}-} u^{\prime}(t)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{u}+} u^{\prime}(t)$ exist.

1. Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{u-}} u^{\prime}(t)=0$. Assume that there exists $t^{*} \in\left(0, t_{u}\right)$ such that $u^{\prime}\left(t^{*}\right)=0$. Then $u^{\prime}(t)=0$ for $t \in\left[t^{*}, t_{u}\right]$. On the other hand, by (4.3), we get

$$
0<\phi^{-1}\left(\varepsilon\left(t_{u}-t\right)\right) \leq u^{\prime}(t) \text { for } t \in\left(t^{*}, t_{u}\right],
$$

a contradiction. Similarly for $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{u}+} u^{\prime}(t)=0$.
2. Let $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{u}-} u^{\prime}(t)>0$. Since $u^{\prime}$ is nonincreasing, we have $u^{\prime}(t)>0$ for $t \in\left(0, t_{u}\right]$. Similarly for $\lim _{t \rightarrow t_{u}+} u^{\prime}(t)<0$.
Hence, $t_{u}$ is the unique point in $[0, T]$ where either $u^{\prime}\left(t_{u}\right)=0$ or $u^{\prime}\left(t_{u}\right)$ does not exist. By estimate (4.10), $u$ is positive in $(0, T)$. Therefore $S$ has the form as in condition 4 of Theorem 3.1. Finally, by (4.2) and the definition of $f_{k}$, we have $f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \geq 0$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq k_{0}$. Hence, assumption 5 of Theorem 3.1 is fulfiled and $u$ is a solution of problem (2.1). Estimates (4.4) follow from (4.10) and (4.11).

Example 4.2. Assume that $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in(0, \infty)$, and functions $h_{i} \in L_{l o c}(0, \infty)$ are nonnegative, $i=1,2,3,4$. Let us put

$$
\begin{array}{r}
f(t, x, y)=\left(1-y^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2 t(T-t)}+h_{1}(t) x^{\alpha_{1}}\right. \\
\left.+h_{2}(t)|y|^{\alpha_{2}}+h_{3}(t) \frac{1}{x^{\beta_{1}}}+h_{4}(t) \frac{1}{|y|^{\beta_{2}}}\right) \tag{4.12}
\end{array}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and all $x \in(0, \infty), y \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$. Then function $f$ fulfils the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 with $c_{1}=c_{2}=1, \nu=\min \left\{\frac{T}{4} ; \frac{1}{2}\right\}, \mathcal{A}_{1}=[0, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}=[-1,1]$.

Really, we see that $f \in \operatorname{Car}((0, T) \times \mathcal{D})$, where $\mathcal{D}=(0, \infty) \times([-1,1] \backslash\{0\})$ and that $f(t, x, y)$ has singularities at $t=0, t=T, x=0, y=0$. Consequently (2.2) holds. If we put $\sigma_{2}(t)=$ $\min \{t ;(T-t)\}$ for $t \in[0, T]$ we get $\left|\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right|=1$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and (4.1), (4.2) are valid. Further, for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and all $x \in\left(0, \sigma_{2}(t)\right),|y| \in(0, \nu]$ we have

$$
f(t, x, y) \geq \frac{1-\nu^{2}}{2 t(T-t)} \geq \frac{2\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{T^{2}}
$$

Therefore if we choose a positive $\varepsilon<\min \left\{\frac{2\left(1-\nu^{2}\right)}{T^{2}} ; \frac{\phi(\nu)}{\nu}\right\}$ we see that (4.3) holds as well. Theorem 4.1 guarantees the existence of a solution $u$ of problem (2.1) with $f$ given by (4.12). Moreover $u$ fulfils $0<u(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t),-1 \leq u^{\prime}(t) \leq 1$ for $t \in(0, T)$.
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