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#### Abstract

We assume that $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}$ are closed intervals containing $0, \phi$ is an increasing odd homeomorphism with $\phi(\mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}$ and $T \in(0, \infty)$. We will study the singular Dirichlet problem of the form $$
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0
$$


and we will prove the existence of its smooth solution satisfying

$$
u(t) \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, \quad u^{\prime}(t) \in \mathcal{A}_{2} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[0, T] .
$$

Here $f$ satisfies the Carathéodory conditions on the set $(0, T) \times \mathcal{D}$ and can have time singularities at $t=0, t=T$ and space singularities at $x=0, y=0$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $T \in(0, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{A}_{2} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be closed intervals containing 0 . Assume that $\phi$ is an increasing odd homeomorphism with $\phi(\mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}$. We will study the singular Dirichlet problem of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we will prove the existence of a solution of problem (1.1) satisfying

$$
u(t) \in \mathcal{A}_{1}, \quad u^{\prime}(t) \in \mathcal{A}_{2} \quad \text { for } \quad t \in[0, T] .
$$

Denote $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}_{1} \times \mathcal{D}_{2}$, where $\mathcal{D}_{i}=\mathcal{A}_{i} \backslash\{0\}, i=1,2$.
We assume that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f \text { satisfies the Carathéodory conditions on the set }(0, T) \times \mathcal{D}  \tag{1.2}\\
\text { and that } f \text { can have time singularities at } t=0, t=T \\
\text { and space singularities at } x=0, y=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 1.1 A function $f$ has a time singularity at $t=0(t=T)$ if there exists $(x, y) \in \mathcal{D}$ such that

$$
\int_{0}^{\varepsilon}|f(t, x, y)| \mathrm{d} t=\infty \quad\left(\int_{T-\varepsilon}^{T}|f(t, x, y)| \mathrm{d} t=\infty\right)
$$

for any sufficiently small $\varepsilon>0$.
Definition 1.2 A function $f$ has a space singularity at $x=0(y=0)$ if there exists a set $J \subset[0, T]$ with a positive Lebesgue measure such that the condition

$$
\limsup _{x \rightarrow 0}|f(t, x, y)|=\infty \quad\left(\limsup _{y \rightarrow 0}|f(t, x, y)|=\infty\right)
$$

holds for a.e. $t \in J$ and some $y \in \mathcal{D}_{2}\left(x \in \mathcal{D}_{1}\right)$.

### 1.1 Notation

$[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R} ; J \subset \mathbb{R} ; \mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} ;$
meas $\mathcal{A}$ - the Lebegue measure of $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathbb{R}$.
$C[a, b]$ - the Banach space of functions continuous on $[a, b]$ with the norm $\|x\|_{C}=\max \{|x(t)| ; \quad t \in[a, b]\}$;
$C^{1}[a, b]$ - the Banach space of functions having continuous first derivatives on $[a, b]$ with the norm $\|x\|_{C^{1}}=\|x\|_{C}+\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{C} ;$
$A C[a, b]$ - the set of absolutely continuous functions on $[a, b]$;
$A C^{1}[a, b]$ - the set of functions having absolutely continuous derivatives on $[a, b]$;
$A C_{l o c}(J)$ - the set of functions $x \in A C[c, d]$ for each $[c, d] \subset J ;$
$L[a, b]$ - the Banach space of functions Lebesgue integrable on $[a, b]$ with the norm $\|x\|_{L}=\int_{a}^{b}|x(t)| \mathrm{d} t$;
$\operatorname{Car}([a, b] \times \mathcal{M})$ - the set of functions $f: \quad[a, b] \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the Carathéodory conditions on $[a, b] \times \mathcal{M}$, i.e.
$f(\cdot, x, y):[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{M}$;
$f(t, \cdot, \cdot): \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous for a.e. $t \in[a, b] ;$
for each compact set $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{M}$ there is a function $m_{\mathcal{K}} \in L[a, b]$ such that

$$
|f(t, x, y)| \leq m_{\mathcal{K}}(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b] \text { and all }(x, y) \in \mathcal{K} .
$$

$\operatorname{Car}((a, b) \times \mathcal{M})$ - the set of function $f \in \operatorname{Car}([c, d] \times \mathcal{M})$ for each $[c, d] \subset(a, b)$;
Definition 1.3 A function $u:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0, T]$ is a solution of problem (1.1) if $u$ satisfies $\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and fulfils the boundary conditions $u(0)=u(T)=0$.

In some works dealing with singular problems (see e.g. [2] or [5]) a little different definition of a solution is used. Particularly $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)$ need not belong to
$A C[0, T]$. To avoid the misunderstanding we call such functions $w$-solutions and define them as follows:

Definition 1.4 A function $u \in C[0, T]$ is a $w$-solution of problem (1.1) if there exists a finite number of points $t_{\nu} \in[0, T], \nu=1, \cdots, r$, such that if we denote $J=$ $[0, T] \backslash\left\{t_{\nu}\right\}_{\nu=1}^{r}$, then $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C_{\text {loc }}(J), u$ satisfies $\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)=0$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and fulfils the boundary conditions $u(0)=u(T)=0$.

In this paper we generalize the existence principle of [6] which was proved for problem (1.1) where $\phi(y) \equiv y$. Here we work with a general $\phi$ including the case $\phi(y)=|y|^{p-2} y$ for $p>1$. Combining this existence principle (Theorem 3.1) with the lower and upper functions method we prove new existence result (Theorem 4.1) for problem (1.1). Theorem 4.1 extends earlier results by Agarwall, Lü and O'Regan [1], Jiang [4], Staněk [7] and Wang, Gao [9].

## 2 Regular Dirichlet problem

Singular problems are usually studied by means of approximate regular problems. Therefore we bring here some results for the auxiliary regular problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g \in \operatorname{Car}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$.
The first one is the Fredholm type existence theorem well known for problem (2.1) with $\phi(y) \equiv y$, see. e.g. [6]. For readers' convenient we will prove it here for problem (2.1) with a general $\phi$.

Theorem 2.1 (Fredholm type existence theorem) Assume that there is a function $h \in L[0, T]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|g(t, x, y)| \leq h(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } \quad t \in[0, T] \quad \text { and all } \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then problem (2.1) has a solution.
Proof.
Step 1. Solution of an auxiliary problem.
Consider the auxiliary problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=b(t), \quad u(0)=u(T)=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b \in L[0, T]$. Then $u$ is a solution of problem (2.3) if and only if $u \in C^{1}[0, T]$ satisfies equalities

$$
u(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{s} b(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

and

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \phi^{-1}\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{s} b(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau\right) \mathrm{d} s=0
$$

We can check it by direct computation.
Step 2. Definition of functional $\gamma$.
For each $\ell \in C[0, T]$ define

$$
\psi_{\ell}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \psi_{\ell}(x)=\int_{0}^{T} \phi^{-1}(x+\ell(s)) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Due to the assumption that $\phi$ is an increasing homeomorphism with $\phi(\mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}$, the function $\psi_{\ell}$ is continuous, increasing and $\psi_{\ell}(\mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}$. Thus the equation $\psi_{\ell}(x)=0$ has exactly one root $x=\gamma(\ell) \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore we can define the functional

$$
\gamma: C[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \psi_{\ell}(\gamma(\ell))=0
$$

Step 3. Functional $\gamma$ maps bounded sets to bounded sets.
Assume that $\mathcal{B} \subset C[0, T]$ and $c \in(0, \infty)$ and such that $\|\ell\|_{C} \leq c$ for each $\ell \in \mathcal{B}$. Further assume that there exists a sequence $\left\{\ell_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma\left(\ell_{n}\right)=\infty \quad \text { or } \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma\left(\ell_{n}\right)=-\infty
$$

Let the former possibility occur. Then

$$
0=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{\ell_{n}}\left(\gamma\left(\ell_{n}\right)\right) \geq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} T \phi^{-1}\left(\gamma\left(\ell_{n}\right)-c\right)=\infty,
$$

a contradiction. The latter possibility can be argued similarly. Thus $\gamma(\mathcal{B})$ is bounded.

Step 4. Functional $\gamma$ is continuous.
Consider a sequence $\left\{\ell_{n}\right\} \subset C[0, T]$ and assume that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell_{n}=\ell_{0}$ in $C[0, T]$.
By Step 3, the sequence $\left\{\gamma\left(\ell_{n}\right)\right\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ is bounded and hence we can choose a subsequence such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma\left(\ell_{k_{n}}\right)=x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$. We get

$$
0=\psi_{\ell_{k_{n}}}\left(\gamma\left(\ell_{k_{n}}\right)\right)=\int_{0}^{T} \phi^{-1}\left(\gamma\left(\ell_{k_{n}}\right)+\ell_{k_{n}}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

which, for $n \rightarrow \infty$, yields

$$
0=\int_{0}^{T} \phi^{-1}\left(x_{0}+\ell_{0}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Thus, with respect to Step 2, we have $x_{0}=\gamma\left(\ell_{0}\right)$. It follows that any convergent subsequence of $\left\{\gamma\left(\ell_{n}\right)\right\}$ has the same limit $\gamma\left(\ell_{0}\right)$. Since $\left\{\gamma\left(\ell_{n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded, we get $\gamma\left(\ell_{0}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma\left(\ell_{n}\right)$.

Step 5. Definition of operator $\mathcal{F}$.
Define operators $\mathcal{N}: C^{1}[0, T] \rightarrow C[0, T]$ and $\mathcal{F}: C^{1}[0, T] \rightarrow C^{1}[0, T]$ by

$$
(\mathcal{N}(u))(t)=-\int_{0}^{t} g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

and

$$
(\mathcal{F}(u))(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}(\gamma(\mathcal{N}(u))+(\mathcal{N}(u))(s)) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Step 1 and Step 2 yield that $u$ is a solution of problem (2.1) if and only if $u \in C^{1}[0, T]$ satisfies

$$
u(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)+(\mathcal{N}(u))(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s, \quad \phi\left(u^{\prime}(0)\right)=\gamma(\mathcal{N}(u)) .
$$

Therefore the operator equation $u=\mathcal{F}(u)$ is equivalent with problem (2.1). Thus it suffices to prove, that the operator $\mathcal{F}$ has a fixed point.

## Step 6. Fixed point of operator $\mathcal{F}$.

Since the operators $\gamma$ and $\mathcal{N}$ are continuous, it follows that $\mathcal{F}$ is continuous. Choose an arbitrary sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset C^{1}[0, T]$ and denote $v_{n}=\mathcal{F}\left(u_{n}\right)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
v_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\phi^{-1}\left(\gamma\left(\mathcal{N}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)+\left(\mathcal{N}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)(t)\right), \quad t \in[0, T], \quad n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

By condition (2.2), there is a $c_{1} \in(0, \infty)$ such that $\left\|\mathcal{N}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|_{C} \leq c_{1}$. This implies that the sequences $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{v_{n}^{\prime}\right\}$ are bounded on $[0, T]$. Consequently the sequence $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ is equicontinuous on $[0, T]$. Further, for $t_{1}, t_{2} \in[0, T]$

$$
\left|\phi\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{1}\right)\right)-\phi\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\left(t_{2}\right)\right)\right|=\left|\left(\mathcal{N}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\left(t_{1}\right)-\left(\mathcal{N}\left(u_{n}\right)\right)\left(t_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} h(s) \mathrm{d} s\right|
$$

Thus the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(v_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is bounded and equicontinuous on $[0, T]$. Making use of the Arzelà - Ascoli theorem we can find subsequences $\left\{v_{k_{n}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\phi\left(v_{k_{n}}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ uniformly convergent on $[0, T]$. Then $\left\{v_{k_{n}}^{\prime}\right\}$ is also uniformly convergent on $[0, T]$ and so, $\left\{v_{k_{n}}\right\}$ is convergent in $C^{1}[0, T]$. We have proved that the operator $\mathcal{F}$ is compact on $C^{1}[0, T]$. By the Schauder fixed theorem, $\mathcal{F}$ has a fixed point, which is a solution of problem (2.1).

In the investigation of the regular problem (2.1) the lower and upper functions method is a profitable instrument, see. e.g. De Coster, Habets [3], Kiguradze, Shekhter [5] or Vasiljev, Klokov [8]. Note that in some works lower and upper functions are called lower and upper solutions.

Definition 2.2 A function $\sigma \in C[0, T]$ is called an upper function of problem (2.1) if there exists a finite set $\Sigma \subset(0, T)$ such that

$$
\phi\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \in A C_{l o c}([0, T] \backslash \Sigma), \quad \sigma^{\prime}(\tau+):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \tau+} \sigma^{\prime}(t) \in \mathbb{R}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sigma^{\prime}(\tau-):=\lim _{t \rightarrow \tau-} \sigma^{\prime}(t) \in \mathbb{R} \text { for each } \tau \in \Sigma, \\
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left(\phi\left(\sigma^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+g\left(t, \sigma(t), \sigma^{\prime}(t)\right) \leq 0 \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T], \\
\sigma(0) \geq 0, \quad \sigma(T) \geq 0, \quad \sigma^{\prime}(\tau-)>\sigma^{\prime}(\tau+) \text { for each } \tau \in \Sigma .
\end{array}\right. \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

If the inequalities in (2.4) are reversed, then $\sigma$ is called a lower function of problem (2.1).

The second auxiliary result is contained in the following theorem.
Theorem 2.3 (Lower and upper functions method) Let $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ be a lower function and an upper function of problem (2.1) and let $\sigma_{1}(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t)$ for $t \in[0, T]$. Assume that there exists a function $h \in L[0, T]$ such that

$$
|g(t, x, y)| \leq h(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \text { and all } x \in\left[\sigma_{1}(t), \sigma_{2}(t)\right], y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Then problem (2.1) has a solution u such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{1}(t) \leq u(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t) \text { for } t \in[0, T] \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
Step 1. Construction of an auxiliary problem.
For a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \epsilon \in[0,1]$ define

$$
\tilde{g}(t, x, y)= \begin{cases}g\left(t, \sigma_{1}(t), y\right)+\omega_{1}\left(t, \frac{\sigma_{1}(t)-x}{\sigma_{1}(t)-x+1}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{1}(t)-x}{\sigma_{1}(t)-x+1} & \text { if } x<\sigma_{1}(t) \\ g(t, x, y) & \text { if } \sigma_{1}(t) \leq x \leq \sigma_{2}(t) \\ g\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), y\right)-\omega_{2}\left(t, \frac{x-\sigma_{2}(t)}{x-\sigma_{2}(t)+1}\right)-\frac{x-\sigma_{2}(t)}{x-\sigma_{2}(t)+1} & \text { if } x>\sigma_{2}(t)\end{cases}
$$

where

$$
\omega_{i}(t, \epsilon)=\sup \left\{\left|g\left(t, \sigma_{i}(t), \sigma_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right)-g\left(t, \sigma_{i}(t), y\right)\right|:\left|y-\sigma_{i}^{\prime}(t)\right|<\epsilon\right\}, \quad i=1,2
$$

We see that $\omega_{i} \in \operatorname{Car}([0, T] \times[0,1])$ is nonnegative, nondecreasing in its second variable and $\omega_{i}(0, t)=0$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T], i=1,2$. Further $\tilde{g} \in \operatorname{Car}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and there exists $\tilde{h} \in L[0, T]$ such that

$$
|\tilde{g}(t, x, y)| \leq \tilde{h}(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \text { and all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Thus, by Theorem 2.1, problem

$$
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+\tilde{g}\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0
$$

has a solution $u$.
Step 2. Solution u of the auxiliary problem lies between $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$.

We will prove that estimate (2.5) holds. Denote $v(t)=u(t)-\sigma_{2}(t)$ for $t \in$ $[0, T]$ and assume, on the contrary, that $\max \{v(t): t \in[0, T]\}=v\left(t_{0}\right)>0$. Since $u(0)=u(T)=0$ and $\sigma_{2}(0) \geq 0, \sigma_{2}(T) \geq 0$, we have $t_{0} \in(0, T)$. Moreover, Definition 2.2 implies that $t_{0} \notin \Sigma$, because $v^{\prime}(\tau-)<v^{\prime}(\tau+)$ for $\tau \in \Sigma$. So, we have $t_{0} \in(0, T) \backslash \Sigma$ and $v^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=0$. This guarantees the existence of $t_{1} \in\left(t_{0}, T\right)$ such that

$$
v(t)>0 \text { and }\left|v^{\prime}(t)\right|<\frac{v(t)}{v(t)+1}<1
$$

for $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$ and $\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \cap \Sigma=\emptyset$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=-\tilde{g}\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)-\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
=-g\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\omega_{2}\left(t, \frac{v(t)}{v(t)+1}\right)+\frac{v(t)}{v(t)+1}-\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
>-g\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\omega_{2}\left(t,\left|v^{\prime}(t)\right|\right)-\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \\
\geq-g\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)+g\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right)-g\left(t, \sigma_{2}(t), \sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)-\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \geq 0
\end{gathered}
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$. Hence

$$
0<\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(s)\right)\right)^{\prime}-\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right)^{\prime} \mathrm{d} s=\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)-\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right), \quad t \in\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] .
$$

Therefore $v^{\prime}=u^{\prime}-\sigma_{2}^{\prime}>0$ on $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right]$, which contradicts the assumption that $v$ has its maximum value at $t_{0}$. The inequality $\sigma_{1} \leq u(t)$ can be proved similarly. Thus $u$ fulfils estimate (2.5) and so, $u$ is a solution of problem (2.1).

## 3 Existence principle for singular Dirichlet problem

We will use the following approach in the investigation of singular problem (1.1):

- we approximate problem (1.1) by a sequence of solvable regular problems;
- we find a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of approximate solutions;
- we investigate a convergence of a suitable subsequence $\left\{u_{k_{n}}\right\}$.

The type of this convergence determines the properties of its limit $u$ and, among others, determines whether $u$ is a $w$-solution or a solution of the original singular problem (1.1).

There are more possibilities how to construct an approximate sequence of regular problems. The main properties of such sequence are determined in the next theorem.

We will consider the sequence of regular problems

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f_{n}\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{n} \in \operatorname{Car}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right), n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Theorem 3.1 (Existence principle for singular problem) Let (1.2) hold. Let $\varepsilon_{n}>0, \eta_{n}>0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \varepsilon_{n}=0, \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_{n}=0$. Assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f_{n}(t, x, y)=f(t, x, y) \text { for a.e. } t \in\left[\frac{1}{n}, T-\frac{1}{n}\right], \text { for each } n>\frac{2}{T} \\
\text { and for each }(x, y) \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}, \quad|x| \geq \varepsilon_{n}, \quad|y| \geq \eta_{n},
\end{array}\right.  \tag{3.2}\\
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\text { there exists a bounded set } \Omega \subset C^{1}[a, b] \text { such that } \\
\text { for each } n \geq \frac{2}{T} \text { the regular problem (3.1) has a solution } \\
u_{n} \in \Omega \text { and }\left(u_{n}(t), u_{n}^{\prime}(t)\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2} \text { for } t \in[0, T] .
\end{array}\right. \tag{3.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

Then there exist $u \in C[0, T]$ and a subsequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}(t)=u(t) \text { uniformly on }[0, T] . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further assume that there is a finite set $S=\left\{s_{1}, \cdots, s_{\nu}\right\} \subset(0, T)$ such that on each interval $[a, b] \subset(0, T) \backslash S$ the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(u_{n}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is equicontinuous. (3.5) Then $u \in C^{1}((0, T) \backslash S)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t) \text { locally uniformly on }(0, T) \backslash S \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume, in addition, that the set $S$ has the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\left\{s \in(0, T): u(s)=0 \text { or } u^{\prime}(s)=0 \text { or } u^{\prime}(s) \text { does not exist }\right\} . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C_{\text {loc }}((0, T) \backslash S)$ and $u$ is a $w$-solution of problem (1.1).
Denote $s_{0}=0$ and $s_{\nu+1}=T$. If there exist $\eta \in\left(0, \frac{T}{2}\right)$,
$\lambda_{0}, \mu_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{\nu+1}, \mu_{\nu+1} \in\{-1,1\}, k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi \in L[0, T]$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\lambda_{i} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \operatorname{sign} u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \geq \psi(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(s_{i}-\eta, s_{i}\right) \cap(0, T)  \tag{3.8}\\
\mu_{i} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \operatorname{sign} u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \geq \psi(t) \quad \text { for a.e. } t \in\left(s_{i}, s_{i}+\eta\right) \cap(0, T), \\
\text { for all } i \in\{0, \cdots \nu+1\}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \geq k_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0, T]$ and $u$ is a solution of problem (1.1). Moreover it holds $\left(u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}$ for $t \in[0, T]$.

Proof. By (3.3) there exist $r>0$ and a sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ of solutions of (3.1) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{C^{1}} \leq r \text { for each } n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n>\frac{2}{T} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore the sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $C[0, T]$ and equicontinuous on $[0, T]$. By Arzelà - Ascoli theorem we can choose a subsequence $\left\{u_{\ell}\right\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\ell \rightarrow \infty}\left\|u_{\ell}-u\right\|_{C}=0, \quad u \in C[0, T] . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume also (3.5) and choose an interval $[a, b] \subset(0, T) \backslash S$ arbitrarily. Then $\left\{\phi\left(u_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is equicontinuous on $[a, b]$. By (3.9) the sequence $\left\{u_{\ell}^{\prime}\right\}$ is bounded in $C[a, b]$. Since $\phi$ is homeomorphism, the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(u_{\ell}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is bounded in $C[a, b]$ too. Arzelà - Ascoli theorem implies that we can choose a subsequence $\left\{\phi\left(u_{k}\right)\right\} \subset$ $\left\{\phi\left(u_{\ell}\right)\right\}$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)=\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { uniformly on }[a, b]
$$

and consequently we get

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)=u^{\prime}(t) \text { uniformly on }[a, b] .
$$

By virtue of (3.10) the sequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ satisfies (3.4). Using the diagonalization method we can choose such $\left\{u_{k}\right\}$ that (3.6) holds, as well. Therefore $u \in C^{1}((0, T) \backslash S)$.

By (3.4), $u$ satisfies $u(0)=u(T)=0$.
Let (3.7) be true. Define sets

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{1}=\{t \in(0, T): f(t, \cdot \cdot \cdot): \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text { is not continuous }\} \\
V_{2}=\{t \in(0, T): \text { the equality in (3.2) is not satisfied }\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and let

$$
U=(0, T) \backslash\left(S \cup V_{1} \cup V_{2}\right)
$$

We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{meas}\left(S \cup V_{1} \cup V_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose an arbitrary $t \in U$. Then there exists $k_{t} \in \mathbb{N}$, such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq k_{t}$ :

$$
t \in\left[\frac{1}{k}, T-\frac{1}{k}\right], \quad\left|u_{k}(t)\right|>\varepsilon_{k}, \quad\left|u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|>\eta_{k}
$$

and

$$
f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)=f\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) .
$$

Since $t$ is an arbitrary element of $U$, by (3.4), (3.6) and (3.11) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)=f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { a.e. on }[0, T] . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now choose an arbitrary interval $[a, b] \subset(0, T) \backslash S$ and integrate the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}=f_{k}\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)+\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}(a)\right)=\int_{a}^{t} f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \text { for each } t \in[a, b] . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover there exists $k^{*} \in \mathbb{N}, \varepsilon^{*}>0, \eta^{*}>0$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}, k \geq k^{*}$

$$
\left|f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq m(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b],
$$

where

$$
m(t)=\sup \left\{|f(t, x, y)|: \varepsilon^{*} \leq|x| \leq r, \quad \eta^{*} \leq|y| \leq r\right\} \in L[a, b] .
$$

Since $m \in L[a, b]$ we can apply Lebesgue convergence theorem on $[a, b]$ and get $f\left(\cdot, u(\cdot), u^{\prime}(\cdot)\right) \in L[a, b]$. Moreover

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{a}^{b} f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s=\int_{a}^{b} f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s
$$

which by (3.14) yields.

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)+\phi\left(u^{\prime}(a)\right)=\int_{a}^{t} f\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right) \mathrm{d} s \text { for each } t \in[a, b] . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $[a, b]$ is an arbitrary interval in $(0, T) \backslash S$, we get that $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C_{l o c}((0, T) \backslash S)$ and $u$ is a $w$-solution of (1.1).

Now assume also that there exist $\eta \in\left(0, \frac{T}{2}\right), \lambda_{0}, \mu_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \mu_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{\nu+1}, \mu_{\nu+1} \in$ $\{-1,1\}, k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi \in L[0, T]$ such that (3.8) holds. Since $u$ is a $w$-solution of (1.1), it remains to prove that $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0, T]$.

Choose $i \in\{0, \cdots, \nu+1\}$ and denote $\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right)=\left(s_{i}-\eta, s_{i}\right) \cap(0, T)$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for a.e. $t \in\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right) \backslash S$ we denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
h_{k}(t)=\lambda_{i} f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \operatorname{sign} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)+|\psi(t)|, \\
h(t)=\lambda_{i} f\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \operatorname{sign} u^{\prime}(t)+|\psi(t)| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Due to (3.7) we have $u^{\prime}(t) \neq 0$. Further $h_{k} \in L\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ and according to (3.6) and (3.12) we have

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{k}(t)=h(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right] .
$$

If we multiply (3.13) by $\operatorname{sign} u_{k}^{\prime}(t)$ and then integrate over $\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ we get for $k \geq k_{0}$

$$
\left|\int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}} f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \operatorname{sign} u_{k}^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right| \leq \phi\left(\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\left(d_{i}\right)\right|\right)+\phi\left(\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\left(c_{i}\right)\right|\right) .
$$

By (3.9) we get that sequence $\left\{\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. By (3.8)

$$
\int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}}\left|h_{k}(s)\right| \mathrm{d} s=\int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}} h_{k}(s) \mathrm{d} s \leq\left|\int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}} f_{k}\left(s, u_{k}(s), u_{k}^{\prime}(s)\right) \operatorname{sign} u_{k}^{\prime}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right|
$$

$$
+\int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}}|\psi(s)| \mathrm{d} s \leq \phi\left(\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\left(d_{i}\right)\right|\right)+\phi\left(\left|u_{k}^{\prime}\left(c_{i}\right)\right|\right)+\int_{c_{i}}^{d_{i}}|\psi(s)| \mathrm{d} s \leq c .
$$

Fatou lemma implies that $h \in L\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$ and $f\left(\cdot, u(\cdot), u^{\prime}(\cdot)\right) \in L\left[c_{i}, d_{i}\right]$.
If $\left(c_{i}, d_{i}\right)=\left(s_{i}, s_{i}+\eta\right) \cap(0, T)$ we argue similarly.
Since $f\left(\cdot, u(\cdot), u^{\prime}(\cdot)\right) \in L[a, b]$ for each $[a, b] \subset(0, T) \backslash S$ we get $f\left(\cdot, u(\cdot), u^{\prime}(\cdot)\right) \in$ $L[0, T]$ and the equality in (3.15) is fulfilled for each $t \in[0, T]$ and $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in$ $A C[0, T]$. We have proved that $u$ is a solution of (1.1).

By (3.3) and (3.4) we have $\left(u(t), u^{\prime}(t)\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}$ for $t \in[0, T]$.

## 4 Application of existence principle

Existence principle in Theorem 3.1 is applicable on singular problems where their nonlinearity $f(t, x, y)$ can have singularities in all its variables $t, x, y$. If $f$ has no singularity at $y=0$, then we can put $\eta_{k}=0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ in Theorem 3.1. Moreover, due to the proof of Theorem 3.1, thet set $S$ in (3.7) consists only of the zeros of $u$. This will be accounted for in the next theorem where we will assume

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
f \in \operatorname{Car}((0, T) \times \mathcal{D}) \text { can change its sign, } \mathcal{D}=(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R},  \tag{4.1}\\
f \text { has mixed singularities at } t=0, t=T, x=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Theorem 4.1 Let (4.1) hold. Let $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ be a lower function and an upper function of problem (1.1) and let

$$
0<\sigma_{1}(t) \leq \sigma_{2}(t) \text { for } t \in(0, T)
$$

Assume that there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in[0, T], a_{1}<a_{2}, b \in(0, \infty)$, a nonnegative function $h \in L[0, T]$ and a function $\omega \in C[0, \infty)$ fulfilling

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{\omega(s)}=\infty, \quad \omega(s) \geq b \text { for } s \in[0, \infty) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
f(t, x, y) \operatorname{sign} y \leq \omega(|\phi(y)|)(h(t)+|y|)
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left[0, a_{2}\right]$ and all $x \in\left[\sigma_{1}(t), \sigma_{2}(t)\right], y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
f(t, x, y) \operatorname{sign} y \geq-\omega(|\phi(y)|)(h(t)+|y|)
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left[a_{1}, T\right]$ and all $x \in\left[\sigma_{1}(t), \sigma_{2}(t)\right], y \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then problem (1.1) has a solution satisfying estimate (2.5).
Remark 4.2. Lower and upper functions of problem (1.1) are understood in the sense of Definition 2.2.

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is based on Theorem 3.1 where the existence of a bounded set $\Omega \subset C^{1}[0, T]$ is needed. Therefore we first prove an apriori estimate.

Lemma 4.3 Let $a_{1}, a_{2} \in[0, T]$, $a_{1}<a_{2}, r_{0}, \kappa \in(0, \infty)$. Further, let $h_{0} \in L[0, T]$ be nonnegative and let $\omega$ be positive and fulfil condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{\omega(s)}=\infty \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then there exists $r>0$ such that for each function $u$ satisfying

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0, T], \quad\|u\|_{C} \leq r_{0},  \tag{4.4}\\
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \operatorname{sign} u^{\prime}(t) \geq-\kappa \omega\left(\left|\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right|\right)\left(h_{0}(t)+\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right) \text { for a.e. } t \in\left[0, a_{2}\right], \\
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} \operatorname{sign} u^{\prime}(t) \leq \kappa \omega\left(\left|\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right|\right)\left(h_{0}(t)+\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right) \text { for a.e. } t \in\left[a_{1}, T\right],
\end{array}\right.
$$

the estimate $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{C} \leq r$ is valid.
Proof. Choose an arbitrary $u$ satisfying condition (4.4). By the Mean Value Theorem we can find $\xi \in\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\left|u^{\prime}(\xi)\right| \leq \frac{2 r_{0}}{a_{2}-a_{1}}=: c_{0}
$$

Put $v(t)=\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)$ for $t \in[0, T]$. Then $|v(\xi)| \leq \phi\left(c_{0}\right)$ and $\operatorname{sign} u^{\prime}(t)=\operatorname{sign} v(t)$ for $t \in[0, T]$. Condition (4.3) implies that exists $\rho \in\left(\phi\left(c_{0}\right), \infty\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\phi\left(c_{0}\right)}^{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{\omega(s)}>\kappa\left(\left\|h_{0}\right\|_{L}+2 r_{0}\right) . \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $\max \{|v(t)|: t \in[0, \xi]\}=|v(\alpha)|>\rho$. Then $\alpha<\xi$ and there exists $\beta \in(\alpha, \xi]$ such that $|v(\beta)|=\phi\left(c_{0}\right),|v(t)| \geq \phi\left(c_{0}\right)$ for $t \in[\alpha, \beta]$. By the inequality in (4.4) which holds on $\left[0, a_{2}\right]$, we get

$$
-\frac{v^{\prime}(t) \operatorname{sign} v(t)}{\omega(|v(t)|)} \leq \kappa\left(h_{0}+\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right|\right) \text { for a.e. } t \in[\alpha, \beta]
$$

Integrating this inequality over $[\alpha, \beta]$ and using the substitution $s=\left|v^{\prime}(t)\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\phi\left(c_{0}\right)}^{|v(\alpha)|} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{\omega(s)} \leq \kappa\left(\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} h_{0}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t\right) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $|v(t)|=\left|\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \geq \phi\left(c_{0}\right)$ for $t \in[\alpha, \beta]$, we see that $u^{\prime}$ does not change its sign on $[\alpha, \beta]$ and hence

$$
\int_{\alpha}^{\beta}\left|u^{\prime}(t)\right| \mathrm{d} t=\left|\int_{\alpha}^{\beta} u^{\prime}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right| \leq 2 r_{0}
$$

So, inequality (4.6) leads to

$$
\int_{\phi\left(c_{0}\right)}^{\rho} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{\omega(s)}<\int_{\phi\left(c_{0}\right)}^{\left|v_{( }(\alpha)\right|} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{\omega(s)} \leq \kappa\left(\left\|h_{0}\right\|_{L}+2 r_{0}\right)
$$

which contradicts inequality (4.5). Therefore $|v(\alpha)| \leq \rho$ and we have proved

$$
\left|\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq \rho \text { for } t \in[0, \xi] .
$$

The estimate

$$
\left|\phi\left(u^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq \rho \text { for } t \in[\xi, T]
$$

can be proved similarly using the inequality in (4.4) which holds on $\left[a_{1}, T\right]$.
Hence, we get $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{C} \leq r$, if we put $r=\phi^{-1}(\rho)$.
Proof of Theorem 4.1. Choose an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}, n>\frac{2}{T}$ and denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{n}=\left[0, \frac{1}{n}\right) \cup\left(T-\frac{1}{n}, T\right], \\
& \Delta_{n_{1}}=\left\{t \in \Delta_{n}:\right. \\
& \Delta_{n_{2}}=\left\{t \in \Delta_{1}(t)=\sigma_{2}(t)\right\}, \\
&\left.\sigma_{1}(t)<\sigma_{2}(t)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define

$$
\alpha(t, x)= \begin{cases}\sigma_{1}(t) & \text { if } x<\sigma_{1}(t) \\ x & \text { if } \sigma_{1}(t) \leq x \leq r_{0} \\ r_{0} & \text { if } x>r_{0}\end{cases}
$$

for all $t \in[0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r_{0}=\max \left\{\left\|\sigma_{1}\right\|_{C},\left\|\sigma_{2}\right\|_{C}\right\}$,

$$
\beta(y)= \begin{cases}y & \text { if }|y| \leq r \\ r \operatorname{sign} y & \text { if }|y|>r\end{cases}
$$

where $r>\max \left\{\left\|\sigma_{1}^{\prime}\right\|_{C},\left\|\sigma_{2}^{\prime}\right\|_{C}\right\}$ is a constant by Lemma 4.3 for $\kappa=1+\frac{1}{b}$,

$$
g_{n}(t, x)= \begin{cases}\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} & \text { if } x>\sigma_{2}(t) \\ \frac{\left(x-\sigma_{1}(t)\right)\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}+\left(\sigma_{2}(t)-x\right)\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}}{\sigma_{2}(t)-\sigma_{1}(t)} & \text { if } \sigma_{1}(t) \leq x \leq \sigma_{2}(t) \\ \left(\phi\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)^{\prime}\right. & \text { if } x<\sigma_{1}\end{cases}
$$

for a.e. $t \in \Delta_{n_{2}}$ and all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, and

$$
f_{n}(t, x, y)= \begin{cases}(f(t, \alpha(t, x), \beta(y)) & \text { if } t \in[0, T] \backslash \Delta_{n} \\ -\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime} & \text { if } t \in \Delta_{n_{1}} \\ -g_{n}(t, x) & \text { if } t \in \Delta_{n_{2}}\end{cases}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.
Then $f_{n} \in \operatorname{Car}\left([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ and $f_{n}$ satisfies inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\{\begin{array}{r}
f_{n}(t, x, y) \operatorname{sign} y \leq\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right) \omega(|\phi(y)|)\left(h_{0}(t)+|y|\right) \\
\text { for a.e. } t \in\left[0, a_{2}\right] \text { and all } x \in\left[\sigma_{1}(t), \sigma_{2}(t)\right], y \in \mathbb{R},
\end{array}\right.  \tag{4.7}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{c}
f_{n}(t, x, y) \operatorname{sign} y \geq-\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right) \omega(|\phi(y)|)\left(h_{0}(t)+|y|\right) \\
\text { for a.e. } t \in\left[a_{1}, T\right] \text { and all } x \in\left[\sigma_{1}(t), \sigma_{2}(t)\right], y \in \mathbb{R},
\end{array}\right. \tag{4.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h_{0}(t)=h(t)+\left|\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{1}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right|+\left|\left(\phi\left(\sigma_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right)^{\prime}\right|$. Consider problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}+f_{n}\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(T)=0 . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ are also lower and upper functions to problem (4.9). Moreover there exists $h_{n} \in L[0, T]$ such that

$$
\left|f_{n}(t, x, y)\right| \leq h_{n}(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Hence, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}, n>\frac{2}{T}$, Theorem 2.3 gives a solution $u_{n}$ of problem (4.9) satisfying (2.5). Moreover $u_{n}$ fulfils conditions (4.4) with $\kappa=1+\frac{1}{b}$. Therefore, by Lemma 4.3, $\left\|u_{n}^{\prime}\right\|_{C} \leq r$.

Define

$$
\Omega=\left\{x \in C^{1}[0, T]: \sigma_{1} \leq x \leq \sigma_{2} \text { on }[0, T],\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{C} \leq r\right\} .
$$

Put $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\left[0, r_{0}\right], \mathcal{A}_{2}=[-r, r], \varepsilon_{n}=\max \left\{\sigma_{1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \sigma_{1}\left(T-\frac{1}{n}\right)\right\}, \eta_{n}=0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then conditions (3.2) and (3.3) are fulfilled and, by Theorem 3.1, we can find a subsequence $\left\{u_{k}\right\} \subset\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ uniformly converging on $[0, T]$ to a function $u \in C[0, T]$.

Choose $[a, b] \subset(0, T)$. Then there exists $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq k_{0}$ we have $[a, b] \subset\left[\frac{1}{k}, T-\frac{1}{k}\right]$ and

$$
\left|f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right)\right| \leq h(t) \text { for a.e. } t \in[a, b],
$$

where

$$
h(t)=\sup \left\{|f(t, x, y)|: r_{*} \leq x \leq \sigma_{2}(t),|y| \leq r\right\},
$$

and $r_{*}=\min \left\{\sigma_{1}(t): t \in[a, b]\right\}>0$. Since $h \in L[a, b]$, we see that the sequence $\left\{\phi\left(u_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ is equicontinuous on $[a, b]$. Since $f$ has not singularities at $y$, the set $S \subset(0, T)$ consists only of the zeros of $u$. Since $u$ is positive on $(0, T), S$ is empty and we see that conditions (3.5) and (3.7) hold. Hence, by Theorem 3.1, $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C_{l o c}((0, T))$ and $u$ is a $w$-solution of problem (1.1).

Denote $\omega_{0}=\max \{\omega(s): s \in[0, \phi(r)]\}$ and $\psi(t)=-\left(1+\frac{1}{b}\right) \omega_{0}\left(h_{0}(t)+r\right)$.
Inequality (4.7) implies that

$$
-f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \operatorname{sign} u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \geq \psi(t)
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left[0, a_{2}\right]$ and all $k \geq k_{0}$, and similarly inequality (4.8) gives

$$
f_{k}\left(t, u_{k}(t), u_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right) \operatorname{sign} u_{k}^{\prime}(t) \geq \psi(t)
$$

for a.e. $t \in\left[a_{1}, T\right]$ and all $k \geq k_{0}$.
So, if we put $\nu=0, \mu_{0}=-1, s_{0}=0, s_{1}=T, \lambda_{1}=1, \eta=\min \left\{a_{2}, T-a_{1}\right\}$, we get inequalities (3.8). Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, $\phi\left(u^{\prime}\right) \in A C[0, T]$ and $u$ is a solution of problem (1.1).

Example 4.4. Let $\alpha, \beta \in[1, \infty), a \in \mathbb{R}, b \in\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right), c \in(0, \infty), d \in\left(\frac{1}{b}-2 b\right)$. Consider problem (1.1) where $\phi(y) \equiv y$ and

$$
f(t, x, y)=\left((T-t)^{-\beta}-t^{-\alpha}+a\right)(x-b t(T-t)) y+c y^{2}-d+\frac{t(T-t)}{x}
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$ and all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. The first term of $f$ has time singularities at $t=0, t=T$ and the last term of $f$ has a space singularity at $x=0$.

Let us put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{1}(t)=b t(T-t), \quad \sigma_{2}(t) \equiv r_{2} \geq \frac{T^{2}}{4}\left(\frac{1}{d}+b\right), \\
\omega(s)=(s+1)(c+1), \quad a_{1}=\frac{T}{3}, \quad a_{2}=\frac{T}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

If we choose a sufficiently large positive constant $K$ and put $h(t) \equiv K$, we can check that all conditions of Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled. Therefore our problem has a solution $u$ satisfying estimate (2.5).
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